

BLUE FOLDER ITEM

Blue folder items are additional back up material to administrative reports and/or public comments received after the printing and distribution of the agenda packet for receive and file.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING AUGUST 19, 2025

J.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

- **PUBLIC COMMUNICATION**

From: Mark Nelson (Home Gmail)
To: CityClerk; James Light; Scott Behrendt; Brad Waller; Chadwick B. Castle; Paige Kaluderovic; Zein Obagi
Cc: Kevin Cody
Subject: Public Comment - Pot taxes are tanking
Date: Wednesday, August 13, 2025 3:42:17 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

As I noted at the meeting, the situation for weed tax isn't rosy anymore.

San Diego collected \$12.8 million in local cannabis tax in the first 11 months of fiscal year 2025. (The city's fiscal year ended July 31, but its accounting deadline isn't until mid-August.)

While \$12.8 million may sound like a lot, it's roughly half the amount of cannabis tax San Diego collected in FY2021. That year, the city collected \$23.6 million.

In fact, San Diego collected less cannabis tax than it anticipated it would each year for the past three fiscal years, according to city treasurer records published in response to an NBC 7 public records request.

source:

<https://www.nbcsandiego.com/news/investigations/san-diegos-weed-tax-revenue-takes-a-big-hit/3881229/#:~:text=NBC%20Universal%2C%20Inc.,NBC%20%20Investigates%20found%20the%20city%20is%20set%20to%20collect,over%20the%20past%20four%20years.>

From: Mark Nelson (Home Gmail)
To: CityClerk; James Light; Scott Behrendt; Brad Waller; Chadwick B. Castle; Paige Kaluderovic; Zein Obagi
Subject: Re: Public Comment - Pot taxes are tanking
Date: Wednesday, August 13, 2025 4:04:56 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Using State data:

Cannabis Sales for LA County, PerCapita, x 60,000 to estimate RB

Year	Total Revenue LA County	Approx Rev/Person	Est. RB Revenue
	\$		
2019	766,646,255.00	76.15079043	\$ 4,569,047.43
2020	\$ 1,392,275,815.00	138.8244907	\$ 8,329,469.44
2021	\$ 1,664,164,991.00	166.9783311	\$ 10,018,699.87
2022	\$ 1,508,815,128.00	152.8655239	\$ 9,171,931.43
2023	\$ 1,369,248,156.00	139.2320862	\$ 8,353,925.17
2024	\$ 1,187,588,296.00	120.5839521	\$ 7,235,037.13

On Wed, Aug 13, 2025 at 3:41 PM Mark Nelson (Home Gmail) [REDACTED] wrote:

As I noted at the meeting, the situation for weed tax isn't rosy anymore.

San Diego collected \$12.8 million in local cannabis tax in the first 11 months of fiscal year 2025. (The city's fiscal year ended July 31, but its accounting deadline isn't until mid-August.)

While \$12.8 million may sound like a lot, it's roughly half the amount of cannabis tax San Diego collected in FY2021. That year, the city collected \$23.6 million.

In fact, San Diego collected less cannabis tax than it anticipated it would each year for the past three fiscal years, according to city treasurer records published in response to an NBC 7 public records request.

source:

<https://www.nbcsandiego.com/news/investigations/san-diegos-weed-tax-revenue-takes-a-big-hit/3881229/#:~:text=NBC%20Universal%2C%20Inc.-,NBC%207%20Investigates%20found%20the%20city%20is%20set%20to%20collect,over%20the%20past%20four%20years.>

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Mark Nelson (Home Gmail) [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2025 12:20 AM
To: Valentine Gonzales [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Public comment - City Council Re: Closed & Complete - Case no. 50525

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)
CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

FYI only. The Council needs to push for an audit function of RBPWs CIP BRRs.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mark Nelson (Home Gmail) [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, Aug 15, 2025 at 12:18 AM
Subject: Public comment - City Council Re: Closed & Complete - Case no. 50525
To: City of Redondo Beach - Customer Service <redondo@comcate.com>
Cc: <brad.waller@redondo.org>, <chadwick.castle@redondo.org>, James Light <james.light@redondo.org>, Zein Obagi <zein.obagi@redondo.org>, Paige Kaluderovic <Paige.Kaluderovic@redondo.org>, Scott Behrendt <scott.behrendt@redondo.org>

The City needs some oversight on its CPRA processes, as well as, its CIP BRRs.

Let's be really clear. Soundwalls are limited to 14-feet tall in the State of California standards. RBPWs made up height of 24 feet is taller than 14-feet.

Yes, the City can have partial credit for showing its incorrect work, but, how many OTHER CIP BRRs

were, and remain, simply WRONG like this one?

I assume that given the City was non-responsive to the request by providing off topic material, there is no quality control process nor any audit function of RBPW CIP BRRs.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

|