



**A. CALL TO ORDER**

A Regular Meeting of the Redondo Beach Public Works and Sustainability Commission was called to order by Vice Chair Beeli at 7:00 P.M., in the City Hall Council Chambers, 415 Diamond Street, Redondo Beach, California, and teleconference.

**B. ROLL CALL**

Commissioners Present: Simpson, Bajaj, Anderson, Nafissi, Tsao, Vice Chair Beeli

Commissioners Absent: Chair Arrata

Officials Present: Andrew Winje, Public Works Director  
Lauren Sablan, City Engineer  
Jesse Reyes, Capital Projects Program Manager

**C. SALUTE TO THE FLAG**

Commissioner Nafissi led the Commissioners in a salute to the flag.

**D. APPROVE ORDER OF AGENDA**

Motion by Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Commissioner Anderson, to approve the order of agenda.

Motion carried, by voice vote, 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

**E. BLUE FOLDER ITEMS - ADDITIONAL BACK UP MATERIALS**

PWSC Liaison Reyes announced one Blue Folder item for J.2 that was added in as an attachment to the agenda.

Motion by Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Commissioner Nafissi, to accept the Blue Folder items.

Motion carried, by voice vote, 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

**F. CONSENT CALENDAR**

No public comment.

PWSC Liaison Reyes confirmed there were no eComments and no one on Zoom.

Motion by Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Commissioner Bajaj, to approve the Consent Calendar.

Motion carried, by voice vote, 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

**F.1. APPROVE AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION MEETING**

**F.2. APPROVE THE PUBLIC WORKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES FOR THE FEBRUARY 24, 2025**

**F.3. RECEIVE AND FILE THE MONTHLY UPDATE TO THE CITY'S STRATEGIC PLAN THREE YEAR GOALS AND SIX-MONTH OBJECTIVES ADOPTED BY COUNCIL ON OCTOBER 8, 2024**

**G. EXCLUDED CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS**

None.

**H. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS**

**H.1. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS**

None.

PWSC Liaison Reyes confirmed there were no eComments and no one on Zoom.

**I. ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS AGENDAS**

None.

**J. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION PRIOR TO ACTION**

**J.1. DISCUSSION AND INPUT TO THE CITY MANAGER REGARDING PREPARATION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2025-2026 BUDGET**

City Manager Mike Witzansky stated he is embarking on his annual tradition to reach out to the commissions to seek input on the preparation of budget prior to the delivery to Council; mentioned he is not presenting anything but there to take questions from the Commission and to hear from them matters they would like the City to consider as they prepare the 2025-26 FY budget; stated they deliver the budget to City Council on May 16<sup>th</sup> as the Charter requires it; reported that Staff is already analyzing and preparing it at the department level which includes employee costs and traditional maintenance and operations functions that require expenditure; stated the City has to absorb annualized

cost increases particularly with various labor agreements that have been negotiated on a multi-year schedule and will look into last year's one-time expenditures such as the expansion of the Crossing Guard Program in the City; explained that the City looks at the one-time programs to determine if the City needs to absorb them into their on-going structural cost; stated the City has additional pressures through the City's unfunded accrued liability expenses, mentioning the CalPERS system costing about \$4.2 million expense; added that the City has some pressures in the Harbor Uplands and Tidelands area and have been supplementing those two funds for the last couple of years mostly due to all of the City's capital expenses down there since they have done a lot of improvements; stated revenues continue to be strong particularly in the City's property tax base, valuations look to be growing at a 5% to 5.3% rate going into the next cycle which is about a \$2 million tax increment for the City year-over-year; mentioned it will be tight this year and it will be a fairly judicious budget preparation on Staff's part and then Council from there; explained his office will get Budget Response Reports and defined what that means; noted it will be a fairly normal budget; asked for the Commission's input.

Commissioner Bajaj had three questions: 1) mentioned loss of gas tax dollars with more electrification and so forth and asked is gas tax something that cities collect? 2) with EV stations and as we get more EV stations on private property, is there any tax or any sort of revenue that comes to the city and does the city have a program or an interest in installing more EV charging stations out in the public right-of-way? And 3) how can the City benefit financially?

City Manager Witzansky responded with: 1) Gas tax is collected at the state level and it has been relatively flat for the City over the last few years due to the ebb and flow of electric to gas but he hasn't seen a noticeable drop and explained the state's policy priorities and distribution of that money is shifting but it has not impacted the City's budgets, 2) the City does not receive any specific excise tax but the City participates in utility user tax as a result and explained if a person installs one at home, they are paying more in electricity and the City benefits in more utility user tax and that applies to public and private; stated the City is always searching for grants to expand their electric charging network but have not been super successful and detailed out some ideas they have in mind, 3) stated it is relatively flat and doesn't see much growth financially at this time.

Discussion ensued on the topic of charging stations.

Commissioner Andersen wondered about installing or if the City already had cameras at key intersections for security purposes throughout the City.

City Manager Witzansky stated the City has several automated license plate reader systems installed at major points of entry in town that are looking specifically at the license plate and they send information into the City's network and have been very successful; mentioned they have not used camera technology just to observe incoming/outgoing traffic but they have invested in technology that allows them to access for opt-in partners

with the commercial sector and provide their camera coverage to the City's police department in real-time which helps in investigations; noted they have invested cameras at community centers and transit centers and have something like 148 cameras around; cited examples of locations where they are placed such as Kingsdale, City Hall and Police facilities; mentioned that there are privacy issues involved.

Commissioner Anderson mentioned discussions on the changing of the Artesia corridor and asked if there had been a thought about developing an Artesia specific plan.

City Manager Witzansky stated the City actually developed what is called the AACAP which is the Artesia and Aviation Corridors Area Plan; noted it has given rise to a series of policy recommendations and Council has adopted some of them such as looking at more flexible parking standards; stated the City also has a distinct art effort occurring there and has money invested to provide an art installation along the boulevard; pointed out that these changes require a lot of CEQA review, traffic analysis, impact analysis, etc. and some will require the vote of the residents.

Commissioner Nafissi stated she had two questions: 1) Is the school district contributing to the expansion of the crossing guard program?

City Manager Witzansky stated they have submitted a formal request for it and that Council and a subcommittee of the School Board Members have been meeting regularly on that topic; stated the City is hopeful but they have not contributed as of yet; mentioned the school district contributes to the City's School Resource Officer Program (SRO).

Commissioner Nafissi's second question was: 2) Is there any efforts to increase more murals or artwork in the City?

City Manager Witzansky stated there are two major installations in the queue and have a contract issued for the mural project on Catalina on the Public Works Yard; noted that artist is not available until the summer; mentioned Engineering is assisting in traffic control in the area and hoped to have the mural complete this July or August; noted the City has another project that is funded and the contract has been secured for the gateway art installation at the parkette at the end of Herondo St.; mentioned another project that may be a combination of mural/sculptural art along Artesia that the City has set aside \$400,000 for as part of the Artesia improvement.

Commissioner Tsao referenced the budget for 2024-25 and stated there was an increase in Public Works budgeting; mentioned it has been significantly increasing over the last 3, 4, 5 years from the cost of materials, personnel, vehicles, professional services; asked what he anticipates in 2025-26.

City Manager Witzansky stated he thinks building out the engineering services division in particular has led the City to that increase in productivity on the capital improvement front, along with deploying more on-call consultants, professional services to help assist in pre-

engineering design, development, etc.; opined it is going to be a bit of a flat year from a personnel standpoint; explained the other pressures the City must focus on such as tree trimming services where vendors cost have also gone up due to inflation; stated he does not see that subsiding in 2025-26.

Commissioner Bajaj asked if there is a line in the budget for staff training and professional development for attending conferences or things of that nature.

City Manager Witzansky stated there is was a big cut during the Covid era but the City has restored the travel training professional development budgets to pre-Covid levels; reported the City has set aside \$80k in this fiscal year budget to do the City's own developed professional programming; stated they are in conversations with the University of Pepperdine to develop a contract management program to help the City create more comprehensive agreements with various vendors by using best management practice in developing terms, terms of service, scope of work, etc with measurables in deliverables, schedules, etc and compensation schedules align with those various deliverables; stated they are getting that out to the City's analysts, managers, directors, and so on this year; reiterated they will be doing the typical travel, training, conference stuff that everyone does through their professional networks and do in-house training through third party consultants.

Commissioner Nafissi stated she would like a comprehensive traffic review and joked she was sure it's really cheap; mentioned it has been on her bucket list for a long time since they are always discussing traffic issues.

More discussion followed regarding studies the City has done and other ones done by the state, future projects, and traffic issues.

Commissioner Anderson brought up the topic of metro lines; noted the locally preferred alternative for the hybrid option was selected; mentioned it is going to start to go into a new phase as they wrap up the comments; stated there is a program management support services contract out for Metro right now, indicating the next phase; reported he knows a couple of consultants that have been selected recently to provide some support; advised to take consideration into who the City would want to have whether consultant or in-house to advise on those improvements as they really get codified in on the plans.

City Manager Witzansky stated they are monitoring that closely; mentioned they have brought on a team of consultants to study the various elements associated with the impacts of that project and a lawyer to support the efforts as well as to assemble the City's comments; noted that Council will take appropriate action as that project design takes shape; stated they are a little unclear at what the hybrid option looks like since it came together last minute and they are doing some additional analysis now for the City; stated he is hopeful that all their concerns are addressed and they will look at it carefully.

Public Works Director Winje stated the Staff recommendation would be to just receive and file that report and solicit any public input on this topic as well.

Vice Chair Beeli opened up the floor for public comment.

Liaison Reyes reported having two cards.

Liam Walsh, District 5, stated he is a volunteer with the South Bay Bicycle Coalition; wanted to share some statistics they have compiled on how the City has been doing with regards to the implementation of the South Bay Bicycle Master Plan; stated Redondo Beach has implemented the most miles of bike lanes out of the seven cities that have adopted the plan in 2011; wanted to thank the Commission and City staff for their service to the community and for the fact that Redondo has established themselves as a leader in the South Bay for building bicycle infrastructure; stated currently they are at about 33% of bike lane miles complete throughout the city, which constitutes 11 miles complete with 23 to go; noted that while he celebrates this progress he does want to bring to light that it has been about 14 years since the plan was adopted so it is at 33% and by that math it will take another 28 years to finish it off; believes as a City it can get done well before the 2050's but it will require a plan to complete the network in a timely manner; stated there was a phase one implementation plan which covered a lot of great improvements in building bike lanes in the last few years; hoped to see an implementation plan that includes the staffing needed to complete the full bicycle master plan over a specific timeframe; suggested a target of three years stating other cities in California have shown that around eight lane miles per year is feasible and it would put Redondo in a good position to be a real biking city for the Olympics if the plan could be done by 2028; noted that the main segments that the City has not had bike lanes but are part of the original plan are: 190<sup>th</sup>, Artesia, Aviation, Camino Real, Catalina, Inglewood, Marine, PV Blvd, Prospect, Ripley and Sepulveda; stated the South Bay Bicycle Coalition has recently called for protected Class 4 bike lanes on the busy arterial streets; summarized by saying bicyclists really need a connected network of protected bike lanes that are safe and comfortable for all ages and abilities to use and most importantly a funded and staffed plan to ensure the City can complete the plan on some schedule.

Davis Hunt, District 2, wanted to thank the Commission for its service to the community and support in passing all the protected bike lanes; referenced a 2023 study from John Hopkins University that showed narrower lanes that counter to current street design standards, lanes that are about 9 feet wide have one and a half times less collisions and crashes than streets that are 12 feet wide (the narrower a street gets the safer it becomes up to a point); noted it only applies to heavy traffic areas where the speed limit is 30 mph or more; stated he wanted to encourage that because it could be protecting not only cyclists but also drivers and reduce the number of collisions overall.

Brianna Egan (via Zoom), District 1, stated she has some opportunities that the Commission can champion with this budget cycle; wanted to echo some of the comments

made earlier and thank the Commission for their leadership on the areas in the City such as safer infrastructure on the streets, which promotes safety and mobility for everyone, and for the Commission's openness to trying new configurations and traffic calming solutions; recommended more funding for the Public Works department for more staffing and additional new staff members on the Public Works engineering side; wanted to voice her support for bicycle infrastructure that is in progress and potential future infrastructure; listed the areas in progress and felt Prospect Avenue would have the opportunity to link all the schools there with protected bike lanes and suggested doing a study for that; commented on BCT being a bus agency and a service that needs more love from the City; suggested funding for route improvements on the bus line, frequency, improving publicity and communications about the routes so that residents can understand they have alternatives to driving and parking; suggested that the City apply for more funding through Metro Active Transportation and Measure M; mentioned that streamlining can make projects happen quicker; offered SP 922 which is a current law in the State of California that exempts from CEQA active transportation projects, so projects can happen much faster and help the City meet their climate goals; stated she plans to submit a letter along with other folks that details the recommendations.

Liaison Reyes stated there are no more on Zoom.

Motion by Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Commissioner Tsao, to receive and file.

Motion carried, by voice vote, 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

## **J.2. DISCUSSION OF LONG-TERM INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT RIPLEY/HUNTINGTON/PERKINS**

Public Works Director Winje detailed the item to be discussed; stated it is pertaining to the intersection of Ripley, Huntington, and Perkins and adjacent to Adams Middle School and Washington Elementary School; presented a PowerPoint which included:

- Background
  - PWSC discussed on 6/23/23 and Council approved on 10/3/23 an all-way stop at Ripley and Perkins.
  - PWSC and staff would consider long-term improvements in conjunction with capital improvements in the area.
  - Huntington and Perkins are now due for street rehab, opportunity to implement long-term improvements.
- Proposed Solution
  - Reverse one-way eastbound on Huntington to be one-way westbound

- Consolidate all-way stop approaches to be located at Ripley/Perkins
- Expand island to reduce turning speeds, shorten crosswalks, reduce undefined roadway space, allow for ramps, protect power pole, and improve street parking.
- (1) driveway (2701 Huntington) affected
- Improves AWS visibility
- Available Alternatives
  - Recommend staff advance design to convert Huntington Lane from one-way eastbound to one-way westbound, only between Perkins and Ripley, for City Council approval.
  - Keep the Ripley/Perkins/ Huntington intersection as-is when street rehabilitation is performed.
  - Other options as determined by the PWSC.

Commissioner Anderson stated he is a big fan of the design; mentioned he would recommend on the southwest section a crosswalk at Ripley to help mitigate the jaywalking; noted since there is parking on the west side of Ripley the City should look into expanding the curb extension into Ripley 6 to 8 feet because he thinks that is where the best curb extension would be served from a safety standpoint.

Public Works Director Winje wanted to be clear and asked where on Ripley he suggested the curb extension be placed.

Commissioner Anderson stated where Public Works Director Winje proposed extending it out into Perkins, he suggests to also take a look at extending it onto Ripley and gave more explanation on the location.

More discussion followed on the traffic and curb extension and parking at that location.

Commissioner Tsao stated he would like to hear Mr. Ramos' proposal; mentioned he wrote a detailed email with great artwork regarding the item.

Public Works Director Winje mentioned they just got that email today and did a cursory review but Ryan Liu is traveling; stated he reached out to Traffic Engineer Liu and received some comments back from him stating some of the concerns could be mitigated through proper design additional bulb outs and things of that nature; stated he would defer to Ryan Liu on that.

Rommell Ramos, resident of the house on the presentation since 2001, stated he was not prepared to speak tonight and that is why he wrote the email (but since the Commission requested he made himself available); spoke about his experiences over the



years and mentioned it has been a pet peeve of his that people take any possible route “legal or illegal, it’s been done”; talked about seeing the change and that it is supposed to be an improvement but commented that it is still going to create confusion; mentioned it is an unconventional design which will cause confusion; opined it will not change anything and possibly make things more unsafe; stated more people drive faster coming from Inglewood Avenue entering Ripley westbound going 40-50 MPH and explained how it will not add any value or make it any safer; recommended blocking the whole 50 foot section, which will be a conventional solution and will not give drivers any option to make illegal U-turns; explained his experiences on what will really happen with the plan being offered and stated the goal is to mitigate the unsafe situation which would be the recommendation he suggested.

Commissioner Bajaj asked if it is possible to continue the item until Traffic Engineer Ryan Liu is available.

Public Works Director Winje stated yes and that he was going to mention that it would be good to allow Traffic Engineer Liu to review and respond to the specifics that were presented in the email from Rommell Ramos.

Commissioner Bajaj stated he will make a motion after everyone has a chance to speak on the item.

Commissioner Tsao asked if the City has any data around accidents or any issues that have happened at that section.

Public Works Director Winje reported when they did a study on the all-way stop they did not have any reportable/correctable accidents at that location that was driving the need for the stop sign there; he was unsure of any data collected since that study but stated they may have some speed data on Ripley for other purposes but unsure of the timing of that data

Liaison Reyes reported that there are no eComments and no one on Zoom.

Public Works Director Winje asked if there were any other considerations they would like in the motion.

Discussion followed on what else to put in the motion and the feasibility of blocking off the section suggested by Rommell Ramos.

Motion by Commissioner Bajaj, seconded by Commissioner Simpson, to continue the item for Traffic Engineer Ryan Liu to take in further analysis to see if there is any other improved design based on the comments and questions heard tonight.

Motion carried 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

Vote by Roll Call:

AYES: Simpson, Andersen, Vice Chair Beeli, Nafissi, Tsao, Bajaj

NOES: None  
ABSENT: Chair Arrata

### **J.3. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING COMMUNICATION WITH THE CITY COUNCIL ON ITEMS TO BE ADDED OR MODIFIED ON THE NEXT STRATEGIC PLANNING SESSION**

Public Works Director Winje reported this item is regarding the upcoming Strategic Planning session that Council will be holding on Tuesday, April 29, 2025; stated last year Council extended their planning period to a 12-month cycle rather than a 6-month cycle which they had done in the past; stated this is the time where they open it up for new objectives or continued objectives for things that have already been addressed; stated this item is being brought up tonight to give the Commission an opportunity to provide things they would like to see the Council consider for inclusion on the strategic plan either new items or continued items; noted they wanted to give the Commission time to be able to discuss it and determine how they would like to communicate it formally to the Council; gave them some suggestions of how to deliver their items to Council whether it be they appear in person or draft a letter.

Commissioner Anderson noted Measure FP passed in the November election; wondered if there is an interest with staff of trying to incorporate it into the strategic plan; stated that he has noticed the City website currently has three standards: commercial driveway and two for trenching and wondered if there has ever been an internal discussion about creating more comprehensive standards for the City and listed items that he felt are relevant; opined it could be a good reliance for the City; additionally, he highlighted the Green Line review under Goal 6; noted it is in the EIR review and thought it was really great that the City has been engaged through that whole process but stated it is going to go through multiple reviews and go through great separations throughout the project; mentioned he does not know how the hybrid model will work within the City; mentioned it will go underground and on the surface and there will be a lot of surface opportunities to provide public art or have the City represented respectfully; felt the City needs to get its "pound of flesh" with respect to the improvements it will be providing to the City; stated he worked on the Metro Regional Connector Project and it was a big point of discussion; understands it is a while out before it get to the progressive design build project but feels it is really important during the initial stage that the City gets their input in because improvements will be locked in at that point; he realizes that this is not scheduled to be done until 2032, but this is a critical part and recommends the City think about what they want going forward.

Liaison Reyes noted there is no report to receive and file but wondered if the Commission wanted to put any of the ideas into a formal letter or if these are just comments that the Commission is putting in the minutes from Commissioner Anderson; stated he is trying to gauge whether the Commissioners want to submit a formal letter

supporting certain Strategic Plan items or if they want to bring something back for the April meeting before the Strategic Plan; noted he is just giving them options to consider.

Vice Chair Beeli felt it would be a good idea to put it on paper for the Metro before waiting when it may be too late; asked how they should proceed and wondered if it would be seen by the Council.

Public Works Director Winje stated the Council takes input for the Strategic Plan and it is open to the public; noted that Council, Staff, residents, commissioners as residents, commissions as a body can all provide input; stated the advantage of coming in early and discussing it now is they could assign a subcommittee to write a letter on the Commission's behalf and bring back to the April meeting for review, make changes, and approve to distribute the following evening to the Council, as one suggestion; offered another suggestion of making a statement tonight that the Commission could ask Staff to take forward as a memo to the Council.

Commissioner Anderson stated he would be happy to draft a letter and take it to the City Clerk for distribution.

Public Works Director Winje mentioned they could come back with an item at the April meeting with a proposed letter that the Commission could then edit and authorize Staff to forward or bring it to the City Council meeting the next day on their behalf.

More discussion followed regarding the Green line extension, engagement, timeframe, the EIR review period, the Strategic Plan and input for art extensions.

Commissioner Nafissi asked for clarification on what would be in the letter; stated that the Strategic Plan normally outlines what the opportunities for the City are so she is confused what the letter would be for; asked if the letter is encouraging the City to do something specific.

Commissioner Anderson responded that it is a good opportunity for them to have a real focus as the City goes into final design.

Commissioner Bajaj liked the spirit of it but felt that this conversation should happen once it is approved and the alignment is 100 percent; voiced concern if the Commission starts asking for concessions based on an alignment the City doesn't really want it almost shows a willingness to accept it.

Commissioner Anderson stated his improvements are irrespective and more suggestions of items to keep in mind.

Public Works Director Winje stated he can find out what the process is to make those contributions of design and art and who would receive those recommendations and how to engage the public.

Commissioner Nafissi suggested the creation of subcommittees and opined it brings more clarity for suggestions and recommendations.

More discussion followed regarding timelines of strategic planning.

Public Works Director Winje offered Staff support in helping draft the letter with Commissioner Anderson.

Liaison Reyes stated they need to take public comment and he has a speaker card for Liam Walsh.

Liam Walsh, District 5, referenced his previous statements earlier in the meeting; hoped they could come out of the Strategic Plan session with a date or year at which they think the Bike Master Plan could be done; hoped to see a schedule, funding, and what it takes to nail a date down for completion; noted, as part of South Bay Bicycle Coalition, he has a whole host of spreadsheets, maps, and things that go over the status of what has been done, statistics year-over-year, collision data, and maps the City can use to explore to see what all the different plans are; stated he would be more than happy to go into a deeper level at a future meeting if the Commission wanted to agendaize that; offered any help he can give to see the plan through completion; stated that Redondo Beach has been a leader across the South Bay with the Coalition and appreciated all they have been doing.

Liaison Reyes reported no eComments and no one on Zoom.

Commissioner Nafissi referenced the Bicycle Master Plan and wondered if there was a budget for it or if the City has just been implementing it on the go.

Public Works Director Winje stated the City does not use a lot of the General Fund money but they do have transportation funding available and have used what is called the "call for projects" funding to complete the most recent project for Catalina, Boulevard, and a bunch of streets for Nob Hill and a number of improvements over the last year; stated they also have a Metro Active Transportation (MAT) grant that the City is working on to connect Dominguez Park area all the way to El Camino College through the Ripley/Grant, Beach Blvd corridor partnering with Lawndale and the County of LA; mentioned there is a lot of money available and the City is going after it where they can; noted they are starting a project to connect North Redondo Beach bike path to the two blocks to Inglewood; stated that project was awarded just last week and will be in construction this summer; mentioned another project they have to extend from where

that bike path ends southward to Grant Ave and then southward again to Ripley to create a Class 4 or better bicycle pathway through there as well as develop a bicycle hub in the southeast corner of that intersection of Grant and Inglewood; stated all funded through Metro and it is fairly far into design; mentioned the City is going to District 4 Council meeting Thursday night to talk to residents to get some input; reported considerable community push-back on some of the proposed ideas on some of the routes such as Prospect Blvd and detailed out the reasons for the problems and the solutions they are working through.

Commissioner Nafissi thanked Director Winje for the information but wanted to know if there is a plan to accomplish the Bicycle Master Plan.

Public Works Director Winje stated they do not have a date and try to pick them up when they have street improvements on our arterials that the City does; mentioned that City Council typically designates a small amount, such as \$85k, for planning to get them to the next step for design and next steps; spoke about picking up extra funding opportunistically through Measure M and grant programs that are available; mentioned staffing shortages and the other numerous projects the City has to tackle.

More discussion followed on the Strategic Plan, streets, outreach, community input and scheduling of the plan.

Motion by Commissioner Bajaj, seconded by Commissioner Simpson, to have Commissioner Anderson draft a letter to bring back during the April meeting to have the Commission review the letter, edit it, and submit it for City Council consideration.

Motion carried 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

Vote by Roll Call:

AYES: Simpson, Andersen, Vice Chair Beeli, Nafissi, Tsao, Bajaj

NOES: None

ABSENT: Chair Arrata

#### **J.4. DISCUSSION ON THE APRIL 2025 PUBLIC WORKS AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (PWSC) MEETING SCHEDULE**

Public Works Director Winje summarized the item; stated most every year in April the Budget and Finance Commission and the PWSC have a combined meeting to talk about the upcoming budget and the CIP program; stated Staff's recommendation would be to have a special joint meeting of the two commissions on April 28<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 p.m. and then when that is done to adjourn to a regular meeting that is scheduled for 7:00 p.m.

Liaison Reyes reported no eComments, no public comments, and one person on Zoom.

Brianna Egan wanted to echo the comments of Liam Walsh and hoped they would consider all the work Liam has done; hoped that everyone will work together to make the plan happen.

Motion by Commissioner Tsao, seconded by Commissioner Bajaj, to hold a joint meeting with the Budget and Finance Commission on April 28<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 p.m.

Motion carried 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

Vote by Roll Call:

AYES: Simpson, Andersen, Vice Chair Beeli, Nafissi, Tsao, Bajaj

NOES: None

ABSENT: Chair Arrata

#### **K. COMMISSION MEMBER ITEMS AND FUTURE COMMISSION AGENDA TOPICS**

Vice Chair Beeli asked about street lighting.

Public Works Director Winje mentioned there were two items: street lighting and brightness; noted he never got the locations and said he will reach out to Edison and petition for new street lights or they can do an illumination study just to see how bright it actually is and the City (under their own power) can adjust the brightness of the fixture that is there; mentioned residents' preferences and said he can talk to Vice Chair Beeli off-line to get the exact location.

Vice Chair Beeli stated it is the intersection of Robinson.

More discussion followed regarding the location and whether it would be an Edison issue.

#### **L. ADJOURNMENT**

Motion by Commissioner Bajaj, second by Vice Chair Beeli, to adjourn the meeting at 8:38 p.m. Motion carried 6-0. Chair Arrata was absent.

The next meeting of the Redondo Beach Public Works and Sustainability Commission will be a Special Meeting at 6:00 p.m. and a Regular Meeting to be held at 7:00 p.m. on April 28, 2025, in the Redondo Beach Council Chambers, at 415 Diamond Street, Redondo Beach, California.

All written comments submitted via eComment are included in the record and available for public review on the City website.

Respectfully submitted:

---

Andrew Winje  
Public Works Director

DRAFT