



Administrative Report

Date: May 14, 2026

To: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

From: EUGENE SOLOMON, CITY TREASURER

Subject: CITY TREASURER'S THIRD QUARTER 2025-26 REPORT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City Treasurer manages the City's cash flows while earning a competitive rate of return on investments within the constraints of the City's investment policy and state law. This City Treasurer's report for the third quarter of fiscal year 2025-2026 details the composition of the investment portfolio and investment transactions that occurred during the period from January to March 2026. Meeder Investment serves as an investment advisor to the City Treasurer. The Meeder Investment report with this package includes a comprehensive analysis of the City's investment portfolio and investment market trends.

Notable sections of this report include:

- Treasurer's Portfolio Summary
- Investment Reporting Guidelines
- Investment Report by Meeder Investment
 - Portfolio Summary
 - Investment Policy Compliance Report
 - Investment Activity Report
 - Economic and Market Update

City Treasurer's Portfolio Summary

The market value of the City's general investment portfolio changed to \$79.75MM from \$72.91MM at the end of the prior quarter. This change in the investment portfolio resulted from anticipated fluctuations in cash flow trends for both general operations and the capital improvement program's revenue and spending.

Investment portfolio liquidity is 44.4% of the portfolio, having maturities of one year or less. The Bank of America General Fund Checking Account ending balance was \$10,424,788. It earned a rate of 2.9% to offset the expenses of banking support services.

QOQ Comparison of Investment Portfolio Positions

Portfolio positions at the end of each quarter are listed by investment type, dollar amount, and percentage mix of the overall general investment portfolio:

Composition of Portfolio 2020-Present Comparison of Investment Portfolio Positions

FY 2025-2026

Comparison of Investment Portfolio Positions F.Y. 2025-2026								
	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
Investment Type		%		%		%		
Cash in Banks	\$11,795,033	*	\$10,424,788	*	\$3,289,338	*		
Money Market	\$9,330,381	12.75%	\$7,801,389	9.77%	\$1,230,883	1.58%		
CAMP	\$12,401,737	16.95%	\$12,530,377	15.69%	\$59,885	0.08%		
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$108,917	0.15%	\$15,110,107	18.92%	\$30,116,452	38.66%		
Federal Agency Issues	\$24,834,595	33.94%	\$24,874,731	31.15%	\$24,913,994	31.98%		
Commercial Paper	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%		
Corporate Medium Term Notes	\$10,565,811	14.44%	\$10,583,199	13.25%	\$9,012,154	11.57%		
Bank Certificates of Deposit	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%		
Treasuries	\$15,928,128	21.77%	\$8,948,228	11.21%	\$12,564,491	16.13%		
Total: Investment Portfolio	\$73,169,570	100%	\$79,848,030	100.00%	\$77,897,859	100.00%		
Weighted Average Maturity (Yrs)	0.75		0.54		0.52			
Portfolio Effective Rate of Return	3.23%		3.28%		2.90%			
L.A.I.F. Yield	4.21%		3.97%		3.83%			
Yield on Benchmark	4.34%		4.25%		4.12%			
Interest earned YTD	\$575,653.23		1,074,634.23		\$1,699,108			
General Fund Contribution (60%)	\$345,392		\$644,781		\$1,019,465			

FY 2024-2025

Comparison of Investment Portfolio Positions F.Y. 2024-2025								
	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
Investment Type		%		%		%		
Cash in Banks	\$7,621,789	*	\$25,487,932	*	\$13,508,000	*	\$ 9,865,121	*
Money Market	\$6,410,529	6.86%	\$125,864	0.17%	\$10,816,724	12.84%	\$ 22,461,667	24.88%
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$104,103	0.11%	\$105,337	0.14%	\$106,563	0.13%	\$ 107,737	0.12%
Federal Agency Issues	\$35,668,724	38.19%	\$31,704,178	41.47%	\$28,743,576	33.69%	\$ 24,794,460	27.18%
Commercial Paper	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%
Corporate Medium Term Notes	\$12,463,061	13.35%	\$12,495,672	16.69%	\$12,527,582	14.93%	\$ 10,548,423	11.74%
Bank Certificates of Deposit	\$247,995	0.27%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%
Treasuries	\$38,496,825	41.22%	\$31,755,588	41.53%	\$32,804,960	38.41%	\$ 32,890,362	36.09%
Total: Investment Portfolio	\$93,391,237	100%	\$76,186,640	100.0%	\$84,999,404	100.00%	\$ 90,802,649	100.00%
Weighted Average Maturity (Yrs)	1.45		1.46		1.12		0.76	
Portfolio Effective Rate of Return (YTD)	3.21%		3.08%		3.26%		3.07%	
L.A.I.F. Yield	4.58%		4.52%		4.31%		4.27%	
Yield on Benchmark	4.21%		4.38%		4.45%		4.40%	
Interest earned YTD	\$615,313.46		\$1,070,841.28		\$1,604,265		\$2,793,124	
General Fund Contribution (60%)	\$369,188		\$642,505		\$962,559		\$1,675,874	

FY 2023-2024

Comparison of Investment Portfolio Positions F.Y. 2023-2024								
Investment Type	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
		%						
Cash in Banks	\$6,469,818	*	13,256,219	*	\$11,755,717	*	\$ 10,560,379.31	*
Money Market	\$8,733,993	8.78%	\$19,612,340	19.53%	\$15,881,678	15.37%	\$ 18,202,927.12	16.95%
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$98,106	10.00%	\$100,842	0.10%	\$101,853	0.10%	\$ 102,941.43	0.10%
Federal Agency Issues	\$49,969,031	50.24%	\$48,017,978	47.81%	\$48,066,986	46.52%	\$ 46,615,560.54	43.42%
Commercial Paper	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%
Corporate Medium Term Notes	\$6,905,358	6.94%	\$2,921,402	2.91%	\$4,468,652	4.32%	\$ 8,405,345.37	7.83%
Bank Certificates of Deposit	\$991,797	1.00%	\$991,856	0.99%	\$991,914	0.96%	\$ 247,964.77	0.23%
Treasuries	\$32,755,612	32.94%	\$28,785,152	28.66%	\$33,811,331	32.72%	\$ 33,785,898.60	31.47%
Total: Investment Portfolio	\$99,453,897	100%	\$100,429,570	100.0%	\$103,322,415	100.00%	\$ 107,360,637.83	100.00%
Weighted Average Maturity (Yrs)	1.96		1.73		1.54		1.39	
Portfolio Effective Rate of Return (YTD)	2.82%		2.96%		2.71%		2.78%	
L.A.I.F. Yield	3.55%		3.93%		4.25%		4.33%	
Yield on Benchmark	2.66%		3.10%		3.53%		3.95%	
Interest earned YTD	\$675,178.00		\$1,260,353.00		\$2,023,050		\$2,773,041	
General Fund Contribution (60%)	\$405,107		\$756,212		\$1,213,830		\$1,663,824.86	

FY 2022-2023

Comparison of Investment Portfolio Positions F.Y. 2022-2023								
Investment Type	1st Quarter		2nd Quarter		3rd Quarter		4th Quarter	
		%						
Cash in Banks	\$10,955,229	*	9,223,658	*	\$6,359,470	*	\$ 9,742,075	*
Money Market	\$0	0.0%	\$5,149,678	4.38%	\$13,392,052	11.33%	\$ 8,931,843	7.80%
Local Agency Investment Fund	\$16,071,480	14.5%	\$27,137,556	23.1%	\$5,225,372	4.42%	\$ 1,087,813	0.95%
Federal Agency Issues	\$53,439,957	48.3%	\$47,448,367	40.4%	\$56,867,881	48.12%	\$ 56,918,780	49.70%
Commercial Paper	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
Corporate Medium Term Notes	\$6,981,521	6.3%	\$8,847,387	7.5%	\$8,866,737	7.50%	\$ 8,885,872	7.76%
Bank Certificates of Deposit	\$1,239,564	1.1%	\$991,622	0.8%	\$991,680	0.84%	\$ 991,738	0.87%
Treasuries	\$32,895,368	29.7%	\$27,910,842	23.8%	\$32,831,737	27.78%	\$ 37,713,483	32.93%
Total: Investment Portfolio	\$110,627,890	100%	\$117,485,452	100.0%	\$118,175,459	100.00%	\$ 114,529,529	100.00%
Weighted Average Maturity (Yrs)	1.99		1.72		1.97		1.91	
Portfolio Effective Rate of Return (YTD)	1.51%		1.71%		2.10%		2.29%	
L.A.I.F. Yield	1.60%		2.17%		2.83%		3.17%	
Yield on Benchmark	0.97%		1.38%		1.76%		2.19%	
Interest earned YTD	\$425,011.78		\$952,194.05		\$1,695,368		\$2,435,724	
General Fund Contribution (60%)	\$255,007		\$571,316.00		\$1,017,221		\$1,461,434.40	

Yields: The book yield of the portfolio is 3.34%. The effective rate of return for the fiscal year to date is 2.90%; this return is excluding what the City has earned in LAIF, as LAIF pays quarterly. The book yield is a snapshot on a specific day whereas the effective rate of return is annualized return generated for the period.

Investment Portfolio Performance vs. Benchmark: At the end of the quarter, the yield on the City’s general investment portfolio was 3.34%. This yield provided an 78 basis point disadvantage to the yield provided by the investment portfolio benchmark, U.S. Treasury Note 0-5 year index (30 month moving average), which yielded 4.12%.

The 30 month moving average is used to represent a market rate of return.

Mark to Market: The City Treasurer compares market values of the portfolio holdings to their original cost. At the close of the quarter, the net asset value is \$0.99. That means the Market Value is slightly below the cost of investments. The difference between the book value (cost) of investments at \$77,897,859 and the current market value at \$77,755,628 is \$-142,231.

Cash in Banks:

	As of 3/31/2026
General Fund	\$ 9,289,338.23
Workmen’s Compensation Fund	\$ 1,409,256.28
Successor Agency of RDA	\$ 1,717,534.96
Trust Account	\$ 7,614.40
Housing Authority BLKPNDG	\$ 3,017,216.53
Financing Authority	\$ 4,418,565.32
Parking Authority	\$ 1,068.19
Measure R Local Return	\$ 1,846,537.20
City of Redondo Beach - FSA	\$ 94,505.10
Total	\$21,801,636.21

All Agency, Authority, and Miscellaneous Funds in Banks

Bank Account Descriptions

General Fund - 201

Into the City’s general checking account are deposited most of the City’s revenue, including General Fund taxes, grant revenue (except that for the Housing Authority),

Harbor Enterprise rental income, and sewer fees. From the general checking account are automatic transfers to the payroll and warrant accounts (as payments clear the bank) and purchases of investments.

Workmen's Compensation Fund - 210

A Bank of America checking account has been established for the payment of workers' compensation claims. The City's third-party administrator, AdminSure, writes the checks from this account on the City's behalf. Monthly, the City replenishes the account with a City Council-approved accounts payable check.

Successor Agency of RDA - 213 / Successor Agency of RDA - 694

The Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency maintains both a Bank of America checking account (with a larger balance) and a Bank of America interest checking account (with a smaller balance). A few years ago, the bank changed the accounts to this structure from a checking account and a savings account. The checking account activity reflects biannual deposits of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) revenue as a result of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) process, whereby the City reports to the Department of Finance the amount of funding necessary to make its Redevelopment Agency debt service payments (together with the applicable administrative costs). Those debt service payments are then made from this checking account, and the administrative cost reimbursement is transferred to the City's general checking account. The activity in the interest checking account is currently only deposits of interest earned.

Trust Account - 212

The Police Department deposits bail money into this checking account and then transfers these funds to the courts by way of checks. The balance of the account has been \$7,364.40 for several years, but it could be higher at the end of the month/quarter if a check is outstanding at the time.

Housing Authority – 207/Housing Authority – 023

The Housing Authority maintains both a checking account and a government money market savings account. Into the checking account are deposited grant funds from HUD for the operation of the Fair Housing and Section 8 housing programs. Payments to landlords are then made monthly from this account, and periodically, a check is written to the City as reimbursement for administrative costs paid by the City on the Housing Authority's behalf. The activity in the government money market savings account is currently only deposits of interest earned.

Financing Authority -168

The Community Financing Authority (CFA), a joint powers authority of the City and the Parking Authority, was formed January 31, 2012 when the Kincaid's Restaurant lease was transferred from the Public Financing Authority (PFA), a joint powers authority of the City and the Redevelopment Agency, upon the Redevelopment Agency's elimination by the State. The Financing Authority checking account is used for the lease-related

transactions, including rental income, loan payments, sewer user fees, and possessory interest property tax payments.

Parking Authority - 675

The Parking Authority was established on March 3, 1969, under the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California. Its primary purpose has been to provide public off-street parking within the City. The Parking Authority is currently inactive, with a little more than \$5,000 remaining in a Bank of America interest checking account and a little less than \$5,000 remaining in a LAIF account from its previous activities.

Measure R Local Return - 874

Measure R monies come from a 2008 voter-approved ½ cent sales tax levied within Los Angeles County for public transportation purposes. Per a Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) audit recommendation, Measure R monies are to be maintained in a separate bank account. Because expenditures from the Measure R Fund (primarily for capital improvement projects) are paid through the City’s accounts payable system and “General Fund” bank account, this separate Measure R Local Return interest checking account is funded by periodic transfers from the “General Fund” bank account instead of Measure R monies being deposited directly to the account.

City of Redondo Beach – FSA - 825

The City contracts with Sterling to offer its employees Flexible Spending Accounts (FSAs), which allow the employees to set aside, before taxes, a portion of their yearly income to pay for qualified medical and/or dependent care expenses. These funds withheld from the employees’ paychecks are transferred to this separate checking account each pay period. The medical and dependent care expenses are then paid by Sterling from this account.

LAIF Balances:

LAIF ACCOUNT March 2026

ACCOUNT NAMES	
GENERAL ACCOUNT	\$ 30,116,451.68
SUCCESSOR AGENCY FOR RDA	\$ 2,125,721.19
PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY	\$ 2,227,136.59
PARKING AUTHORITY	\$ 5,888.21
Total:	\$ 34,475,197.67

Trading Activity

During the quarter, the City purchased four securities. Also, one security was redeemed.

Fiscal Impact

Interest earned year to date is \$1,699,108 for the entire portfolio. The General Fund contribution rate of our investment portfolio is approximately 60% of the total interest earned, thus contributing approximately \$1,019,465 to the General Fund through investment activity. Budgeted contribution of interest to the general fund for the entire fiscal year is \$1,500,000.

Investment Reporting Guidelines

The third quarter reporting indicates a “Not Compliant” alert in the City’s Corporate Issuer Concentration section. The Treasurer’s Office has investigated this alert and resolved this reporting as a feature within the Clearwater reporting system not reflective of descriptions within our Investment Policy, Government Code, and GFOA guidelines

The Corporate Issue Concentration limits are in compliance based on the date of purchase, which is in line with our Investment Policy requirements. (City Investment Policy Section 21)

Please note the following guidelines from the Government Finance Officers Association *Financial Management Checklists for Elected Officials*.

Always remember whose money it is (it’s the community’s, not yours)—and act accordingly in a responsible stewardship capacity.

The generally accepted objectives in managing public funds, in priority order, are:

- Safety
- Liquidity
- Yield

An investment manager’s objective is to earn a reasonable rate of return on the city’s investments, while preserving capital in the overall portfolio. It should *never* be an investment manager’s goal to earn *maximum* returns on the city’s portfolio, as this would expose the city to an unacceptable level of risk. Failures in public investing occur when either:

- Policies were not clear.
- Policies were inappropriate.
- Policies were not followed.
- Oversight was inadequate.

Questions to Ask:

- Do you review the investment policy?
- Do you understand the city’s investment program?

- Do you receive and review periodic investment reports?
- Are they clear, concise? Are they readable? Do you fully understand them? (If you can't, this is more likely to be because they've been presented poorly, and may reflect problems, than any "technical" problems with your ability to understand them because it's too "complex." First, it's the job of your staff to make them readable and understandable; and secondly, if the city's portfolio is genuinely that complex, perhaps it shouldn't be.)
- Are there lots of investments and transactions? Why? Most cities do not have portfolios that justify "active" management with lots of sales, purchases, and trades.
- Are your investments diversified? Or have you placed "all of your eggs in one basket?"
- What ongoing oversight is there? By whom?

Submitted by:
Eugene Solomon, City Treasurer