

City Council on 2024-11-05 6:00 PM - CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER

Meeting Time: 11-05-24 18:00

eComments Report


Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
City Council on 2024-11-05 6:00 PM - CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER	11-05-24 18:00	59	12	8	3	0

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment

Support (66%) Oppose (25%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (8%)



City Council on 2024-11-05 6:00 PM - CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER

11-05-24 18:00

Agenda Name

Comments

Support

Oppose

Neutral

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
L.2. 24-1768 CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER LAND USE ELEMENT, ZONING ORDINANCE, AND LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AMENDMENTS, AND CERTIFICATION OF A PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR) THAT SERVE TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT	12	8	3	0

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2410-105, CERTIFYING THE FINAL PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER 2023050732), ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, ADOPTING A STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS, AND ADOPTING A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE REDONDO BEACH FOCUSED GENERAL PLAN UPDATE, ZONING ORDINANCE UPDATE AND LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AMENDMENT

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2410-106, AMENDING THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2410-107, AMENDING THE COASTAL LAND USE PLAN OF THE CITY'S LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT

INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE NO. CC-3281-24, AMENDING TITLE 10, CHAPTER 1 SUBDIVISIONS OF THE REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT AND TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT. FOR INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING

INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE NO. 3282-24, AMENDING TITLE 10 PLANNING AND ZONING, CHAPTER 2 ZONING AND LAND USE OF THE REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT AND TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT. FOR INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING

INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE NO. 3283-24, AMENDING TITLE 10 PLANNING AND ZONING, CHAPTER 5 COASTAL LAND USE PLAN IMPLEMENTING ORDINANCE OF THE REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT AND TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT. FOR INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING

PROCEDURES:


1. Open the public hearing, take testimony
2. Close the public hearing
3. Adopt Resolution No. CC-2410-105, certifying the Final Program EIR, adopting findings pursuant to CEQA, adopting a Statement of Overriding Considerations, and adopting a mitigation monitoring and reporting program
4. Adopt Resolution No. CC-2410-106, amending the General Plan

Sentiments for All Agenda Items

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment

Support (66%) Oppose (25%) Neutral (0%)
No Response (8%)



Agenda Item: eComments for L.2. 24-1768 CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER LAND USE ELEMENT, ZONING ORDINANCE, AND LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AMENDMENTS, AND CERTIFICATION OF A PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR) THAT SERVE TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE HOUSING ELEMENT

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2410-105, CERTIFYING THE FINAL PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER 2023050732), ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, ADOPTING A STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS, AND ADOPTING A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE REDONDO BEACH FOCUSED GENERAL PLAN UPDATE, ZONING ORDINANCE UPDATE AND LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AMENDMENT

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2410-106, AMENDING THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2410-107, AMENDING THE COASTAL LAND USE PLAN OF THE CITY'S LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT

INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE NO. CC-3281-24, AMENDING TITLE 10, CHAPTER 1 SUBDIVISIONS OF THE REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT AND TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT. FOR INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING


INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE NO. 3282-24, AMENDING TITLE 10 PLANNING AND ZONING, CHAPTER 2 ZONING AND LAND USE OF THE REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT AND TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT. FOR INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING

INTRODUCE BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE NO. 3283-24, AMENDING TITLE 10 PLANNING AND ZONING, CHAPTER 5 COASTAL LAND USE PLAN IMPLEMENTING ORDINANCE OF THE REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE ELEMENT AND TO IMPLEMENT THE CITY'S CERTIFIED 6TH CYCLE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT AND OTHER AMENDMENTS REGARDING RESIDENTIALLY DESIGNATED PROPERTIES NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROGRAM ACTIONS IN THE CITY'S HOUSING ELEMENT. FOR INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING

PROCEDURES:

1. Open the public hearing, take testimony
2. Close the public hearing
3. Adopt Resolution No. CC-2410-105, certifying the Final Program EIR, adopting findings pursuant to CEQA, adopting a Statement of Overriding Considerations, and adopting a mitigation monitoring and reporting program
4. Adopt Resolution No. CC-2410-106, amending the General Plan Land Use Element
5. Adopt Resolution No. CC-2410-107, amending the Land Use Plan of the City's Certified Local Coastal Program
6. Introduce for first reading Ordinance No. CC-3281-24, amending Title 10, Chapter 1 Subdivisions of the Redondo Beach Municipal Code
7. Introduce for first reading Ordinance No. CC-3282-24, amending Title 10, Chapter 2 Zoning and Land Use of the Redondo Beach Municipal Code
8. Introduce for first reading Ordinance No. CC-3283-24, amending Title 10, Chapter 5 Coastal Land Use Plan Implementing Ordinance of the Redondo Municipal Code

Overall Sentiment



Ann Wolfson

Location:

Submitted At: 7:07pm 11-05-24

I support the Planning Commission's recommendation for FAR on public land and at most the 0.75 as proposed in the General Plan. None of BCHD's services require an increased FAR. allcove is currently operating in Building 514 and as BCHD has stated before, can move within existing property. It has been decades since the site operated as a hospital. BCHD provides NO emergency services. The public health district does not provide the broad, critical infrastructure and emergency services that the City of Redondo Beach provides ALL its residents. Yet, BCHD's CEO says he wants an exception for BCHD for an extremely high 1.25 FAR, equal to City Hall. They are not only advocating for an extremely high FAR for themselves, and ALL 26 parcels of public institutional land. And they hired a lawyer to go against the City of Redondo Beach to try to get it. It's time to clearly see what actions BCHD is taking, rather than relying on anecdotal stories about favorite services that have nothing to do with this important policy decision.

James Ander

Location:

Submitted At: 5:10pm 11-05-24

Certify the EIR at the present FAR levels and recommendations from the planning commission. If we don't the city will have no open space left.

Tory Lehrer

Location:

Submitted At: 5:01pm 11-05-24

BCHD is not who should be deciding how the city does its zoning. Last time I checked they are not controlled by anyone but themselves and are so out of touch it would be better if they faded away. Until then no special exceptions for this out of touch entity.

JB Abrahams

Location:

Submitted At: 4:55pm 11-05-24

Did BCHD get all the people commenting online for them from a drug rehab facility? They all keep parroting the same nonsense as if it were step 2 in a 12-step program. Do not make an exception for them to be at the same

FAR level as city emergency services.

Jessica Gonzales

Location:

Submitted At: 4:41pm 11-05-24

Keep BCHD at a 0.5 FAR! I'm getting tired of a health district that claims they act in the best interests of Redondo residents then threaten to sue us if they don't get their way. Sorry but that shows to us they are not needed and certainly not important to the community. Time to disband BCHD and give the money back to the cities paying into their coffers.

Tim Ryan

Location:

Submitted At: 4:39pm 11-05-24

Fire and Police have special jobs in the city and require different property zoning for their functions. Claiming a health district has the same importance to the community is totally ridiculous. Keep Public emergency (Institutional property) at 1.25 FAR and make all others 0.5. That makes the most sense and if BCHD wants to do something else then submit and plan and let the people decide. End of story.

Marcio Nava

Location:

Submitted At: 3:26pm 11-05-24

I SUPPORT keeping the FAR for Public Institutional (P/I) land at 0.75 as stated in the updated General Plan, or at 0.50 as the Planning Commission recommended. Public land use should be compatible with surrounding residential areas. An increase to 1.25 FAR for BCHD or ALL P/I land use would be highly damaging to the character and quality of life of residents in the City.

Leslie Ogg

Location:

Submitted At: 1:14pm 11-05-24

I support keeping public institutional land uses the same for BCHD and the City with a 1.25 FAR in the General Plan update.

The Center for Health and Fitness and especially the Blue Zones project has been instrumental in helping me improve my health.

Mary Drummer

Location:

Submitted At: 11:36am 11-05-24

I support the uniform assignment of FAR for public institutions. Anything less than a FAR of 1.25 is signaling bias- and jeopardizing services to thousands of Citizens in the Beach Cities- but mostly in Redondo.

James Vita

Location:

Submitted At: 4:45pm 11-04-24

Once again: BCHD provides many benefits to the public including us seniors. I can't imagine allowing them a FAR equal to other public institutions would open the door for over development. The example of Parris as a multi story structure is asinine.

Paul Moses

Location:

Submitted At: 10:53am 11-04-24

A uniform 1.25 FAR for public institution properties should be adopted by the City Council.

Tom Bakaly

Location:

Submitted At: 4:18pm 11-01-24

I write this short comment on behalf of Beach Cities Health District (BCHD). In addition to the comments previously submitted by BCHD relating to the EIR for the General Plan Update, BCHD objects to the following statement on pg. 2-83 – 2-84 in the FPEIR: 'reducing the proposed FAR from 0.75 to 0.5 would have no material effect on the FPEIR.' As set forth in the September 30, 2024 letter from our attorney, which I incorporate into this comment by reference, the environmental impacts of a proposed 0.5 FAR was not analyzed in the EIR. As set the staff report for this item states, 'the current EIR provides coverage for a range .85-1.0 at the BCHD site.' The FIR did not analyze the environmental impacts of a range different than that.