

BLUE FOLDER ITEM

Blue folder items are additional back up material to administrative reports and/or public comments received after the printing and distribution of the agenda packet for receive and file.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OCTOBER 21, 2025

J.1 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

- **ARTesia Survey Link**
- **PUBLIC COMMUNICATION**



Outlook

ARTesia Survey Link

From Elizabeth Hause <Elizabeth.Hause@redondo.org>

Date Tue 10/21/2025 2:25 PM

To Zein Obagi <Zein.Obagi@redondo.org>

Hi Zein,

Link is on the ARTesia page, live and working 😊 :

https://culturalarts.redondo.org/public_arts/consultant_for__artesia__public_art_project/index.php

Elizabeth Hause

Community Services Director

310.697. 3354

Elizabeth.Hause@redondo.org



**REDONDO
BEACH**

From: [Doridays](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Cc: [Dori Days](#)
Subject: Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 8:13:11 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER – Public Comment All Agencies

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR -- **RIDICULOUS, \$1M/yr. is silly and will be nothing in 10, 20, 50, 100 years from now.**
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations. **Not to mention continuing to ruin the South Bay Beach Cities area. And the area will have YEARS OF DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION TO DEAL WITH!**

From: [Abbes Khani](#)
To: [CityClerk](#)
Subject: BCHD Ongoing Deceptive Games
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2025 3:53:59 AM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Mr. Tom Bakaly,

In your thousand game of deception, under disguise of seismic enforcement of Beach City Health District buildings, you have failed BCHD Board of Directors so many ways by spending, wastefully, millions of dollars of Tri-City taxpayers money. Perhaps it is time to give up, give in, and stop your charades.

I oppose BCHD's scheme to take 4.5 acres of public land away from its taxpayers-owners and lease it private developers.

With great disappointment,

Abbes Khani

A resident of City Of Torrance, residing within 50 yards from BCHD Facilities.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Mark Nelson](#)
To: [Paige Kaluderovic](#); [CityClerk](#)
Subject: D3 public meeting comments
Date: Saturday, October 18, 2025 12:08:44 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Public comment mayor council

I was unable to attend. I have the following comments.

Beach Cities health district is falsely claiming that the hospitals four story complex is 60 feet tall. It is not it is 51.5 feet tall. That is according to beach cities certified ER and beach cities submitted CUP of 2 – 2–22

Emergency vehicle noise has been louder and more frequent at beach cities in recent weeks. There were three on Sunday alone of last week. It's quite clear that we receive little to no benefit as the hospital is closed. But we suffer all of the damages in the near neighborhood.

It looks like the loss rate on the trees on the median is about 10%. Since all reputable commercial nurseries provide at least the one year replacement, it seems in taxpayers best interest to get the claims filed and either take the cash or take the trees and use them either here or somewhere else. But there's no reason to waste taxpayer funding when a claim is an obvious winner.

Motorcycle and vehicle continues without enforcement on prospect in the 500 600 range

Illegal parking across driveways has lessened slightly, but is still fairly common to the point that some driveways have significant oil stains and street because of frequent parking in the street behind the driveway.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Abbes Khani](#)
To: [CityClerk](#)
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2025 3:53:04 AM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Mr. Tom Bakaly,

In your thousand game of deception, under disguise of seismic enforcement of Beach City Health District buildings, you have failed BCHD Board of Directors so many ways by spending, wastefully, millions of dollars of Tri-City taxpayers money. Perhaps it is time to give up, give in, and stop your charades.

I oppose BCHD's scheme to take 4.5 acres of public land away from its taxpayers-owners and lease it private developers.

With great disappointment,

Abbes Khani

A resident of City Of Torrance, residing within 50 yards from BCHD Facilities.

From: [Tim Ozenne](#)
To: [CityClerk; communications@gmail.com](#)
Subject: No ! to BCHD "lease" proposal!
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 10:23:44 AM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

We live less than a half-mile from the BCHD property and "hospital." (No hospital in the last several years.)

As it is now, it is hard to identify benefits to local residents from the BCHD property. It sure looks like the whole purpose here is to provide board and staff salaries with no focus on "health" benefits. Why not just shut down BCHD since it provides minimal benefits to the area?

As neighbors, we frequently notice ambulances using their sirens as they drive south on Prospect, obviously bypassing the former "hospital" while heading to TMMC or Providence. Will the existing proposal change that? I think not.

Meanwhile, there is lots of evidence that homes like ours, less than 1/3 mile from the (previously) BCHD campus suffer significant losses in property values. Are these losses irrelevant to the BCHD board? Could the Board do anything to compensate neighbors who lose value, and are such losses even relevant to Board proposals to lease property for then next many decades?

Just say no to the plan for BCHD to lease land to bidders. The Board has a job to do to manage the property and must not be allowed to hand off responsibility to lessees, who will never care about local residents.

Tim Ozenne
Neighbor

From: [William Shanney](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 9:25:25 AM

CAUTION: *Email is from an external source; **Stop, Look, and Think** before opening attachments or links.*

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Sincerely,

William and Vivian Shanney

From: [Aileen Pavlin](#)
To: [CityClerk; communications@gmail.com](#)
Subject: Oppose BCHD Lease to private developer
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 2:13:26 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; *Stop, Look, and Think* before opening attachments or links.

OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

From: [M. Nava](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER – Public Comment All Agencies
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 12:41:51 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; *Stop, Look, and Think* before opening attachments or links.

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Marcio Nava

From: ajsams@verizon.net
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER – Public Comment All Agencies
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2025 12:41:53 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; *Stop, Look, and Think* before opening attachments or links.

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Stop taking from the tax payers!

Jennifer SAmS

From: [JOHN STAUFFER](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER – Public Comment All Agencies
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 4:58:47 AM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

WE OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

We oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Joyce and John Stauffer



From: [Farah K](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER – Public Comment All Agencies
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 7:04:56 AM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; *Stop, Look, and Think* before opening attachments or links.

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Thank you,

- *Farah Kreutz, M. Ed.*

From: [Rosann Taylor](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER – Public Comment All Agencies
Date: Sunday, October 19, 2025 8:21:58 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

I OPPOSE BCHD'S PLANS WHICH WOULD TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS.

Our public land should remain public. Do not let BCHD lease it to private developers.

Thank you.

Rosann Taylor
Redondo Beach

Aloha! ♀

From: [Bethany Johnson](#)
To: [CityClerk](#)
Subject: Oppose BCHD Lease to Private Developer
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 6:34:51 AM

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

I oppose BCHD's scheme to take away 4.5 acres of public land from its taxpayer-owners and lease it to private developers.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give land to the private developers for five generations in exchange for only 1M/year. You could save money by simply cutting the executive salaries by 33% or cut the Overall BCHD budget by 7%.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations ability to make decisions in the future. I love Redondo Beach. A better plan is to just cut the BCHD budget.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [J.sinsheimer](mailto:J.sinsheimer@communications@gmail.com)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: Oppose BCHD plan to lease to Private Developer
Date: Sunday, October 19, 2025 7:26:00 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; *Stop, Look, and Think* before opening attachments or links.

I OPPOSE BCHD TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's plan and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Jeanne Sinsheimer
Resident of Redondo Beach
Sent from my iPad

From: [Mark Nelson \(Home Gmail\)](#)
To: [Communications](#); [CityClerk](#); cityclerk@manhattanbeach.gov; cityclerk@hermosabeach.gov
Subject: Public Comment - BCHD MUST NOT STEAL FROM FUTURE GENERATIONS!
Date: Sunday, October 19, 2025 9:50:40 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Public Comment BCHD, RB City Council, HB City Council, MB City Council

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.

CUT EXECUTIVE PAYROLL BY ONLY 33% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or - CUT THE OVERALL BUDGET BY LESS THAN 7% TO SAVE \$1M/YR
-or- REDUCE SUBSIDIZED SERVICES BY \$1M/YR AND CHARGE RECIPIENTS

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

From: [Peter Friedman](#)
To: [CityClerk](#)
Cc: communications@gmail.com
Subject: Public Comment OPPOSE BCHD LEASE TO PRIVATE DEVELOPER & AND LEASE TERMS
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 8:35:29 AM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

I OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AWAY FROM ITS TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND INADEQUATE LEASE TERMS.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year. At minimum the lease should have incorporated language allowing the City to participate in profits generated by at least 10% of the gross revenues generated. Further, the term of the lease should be broken into 25 year segments to allow renewal encompassing economic and social changes.

The world will be VERY DIFFERENT in 25 years or 50 years or 100 years and BCHD's lust for \$1M/year should not steal our children's ability to make better decisions next year, or next decade, or at any time in the future.

Again, I oppose BCHD's efforts to lease this property and question the structure of the terms of the lease.

Thank you,
Peter Friedman

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lisa Falk](#)
To: [CityClerk](#)
Cc: communications@gmail.com
Subject: Public Comment: Opposition to BCHD Lease to Private Developer
Date: Monday, October 20, 2025 11:34:48 AM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; Stop, Look, and Think before opening attachments or links.

Public Comment: I am opposed to BCHD's plan to lease 4.5 acres of public land to private developers.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for the emergency care South Bay Hospital.

It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land to private developers for five generations in exchange for \$1M/year.

I oppose BCHD's scheme and urge the District to cut its costs instead of stealing from future generations.

Lisa Falk

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [Joan Davidson](#)
To: communications@gmail.com; [CityClerk](#)
Subject: Strongly Oppose Land Lease to Developer- this is an outrage
Date: Sunday, October 19, 2025 7:56:14 PM

CAUTION: Email is from an external source; *Stop, Look, and Think* before opening attachments or links.

Dear Board Members,

You are proposing to give away public land in exchange for a developer's scam.
Why would you even consider such a thing?

Who is responsible for anything found that needs remediation?
Whose funds would you use? Taxpayers?
Or your own bank account?

I STRONGLY OPPOSE BCHD'S SCHEME TO TAKE 4.5-ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND.
IT'S TAXPAYER-OWNERS AND LEASE IT TO PRIVATE DEVELOPERS IS AN
OUTRAGE.

The land that BCHD sits on was purchased and maintained with taxpayer funds for
the emergency care South Bay Hospital. It is unacceptable for BCHD to give the land
to private developers for FIVE GENERATIONS in exchange for only \$1M/year.
How much will the private developer earn on this land?
You are rolling the dice with public funds as an Elected Board Member.

That makes all of you responsible for the outcome. Personally responsible.

Joan Davidson

