

# City Council on 2021-06-15 6:00 PM - THIS VIRTUAL MEETING IS HELD PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 ISSUED BY GOVERNOR NEWSOM ON MARCH 17, 2020.

Meeting Time: 06-15-21 18:00


## eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
City Council on 2021-06-15 6:00 PM - THIS VIRTUAL MEETING IS HELD PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 ISSUED BY GOVERNOR NEWSOM ON MARCH 17, 2020.	06-15-21 18:00	69	3	1	2	0

### Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

#### Overall Sentiment




**City Council on 2021-06-15 6:00 PM - THIS VIRTUAL MEETING IS HELD PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20 ISSUED BY GOVERNOR NEWSOM ON MARCH 17, 2020.**  
**06-15-21 18:00**

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
H.8. 21-2618 APPROVE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL, INC. AGREEMENT FOR CDBG CONSULTING SERVICES FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$108,981 FROM FY 2021-22 HUD ENTITLEMENT FUNDS FOR THE TERM OF JULY 1, 2021 - JUNE 30, 2022	1	0	1	0
H.9. 21-2619 ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-055, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF THE FUNDING REQUEST CERTIFICATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING AND RECOVERY (CALRECYCLE) FOR PAYMENT PROGRAMS AND RELATED AUTHORIZATIONS.	1	0	1	0
N.2. 21-2652 DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON POTENTIAL REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE AMENDMENTS TO REGULATE SIDEWALK VENDING IN COMPLIANCE WITH SENATE BILL 946	1	1	0	0

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for H.8. 21-2618 APPROVE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL, INC. AGREEMENT FOR CDBG CONSULTING SERVICES FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$108,981 FROM FY 2021-22 HUD ENTITLEMENT FUNDS FOR THE TERM OF JULY 1, 2021 - JUNE 30, 2022

Overall Sentiment




**Mark Nelson**  
 Location:

Submitted At: 12:50am 06-12-21

Based on my professional review of MBIs questionable work for the City of Manhattan Beach on the Sepulveda hotel project, I cannot support the use of MBI.

Agenda Item: eComments for H.9. 21-2619 ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-055, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF THE FUNDING REQUEST CERTIFICATION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING AND RECOVERY (CALRECYCLE) FOR PAYMENT PROGRAMS AND RELATED AUTHORIZATIONS.

#### Overall Sentiment



#### Grace Peng

Location:

Submitted At: 6:30pm 06-15-21

Why are you submitting this completely illegal Housing Element. At this morning's webinar on Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing, HCD said that plans that concentrate either poverty or affluence will be rejected. New housing must also reduce income and racial segregation. This plan puts almost all the low income school children in Adams MS, which already has twice the % of low income children as Parras MS. AFFH requires that you zone to reduce segregation by putting more of the low income housing in South RB.


They are also looking at disparate impacts for health and pollution and travel burden. Putting 700 low income units at the triangle north of 405 would people at extreme pollution and traffic risk. How are kids going to cross unsignalized freeway ramps to get to school? To everyone driving by the 405, we will be known as that heartless and loathsome city that put all the low income people "far, far away" next to the freeway.

HCD will also reality-check the assumptions. We will not get 500 moderate income units from residential recycling. R1 to R2/R3 conversion results in townhomes that sell for over \$1M, which is not affordable to people on moderate incomes as defined by HUD.

If you submit this, it will be rejected. We will also go on record as unable to follow directions. I don't want to be embarrassed to tell people I live in RB. We are smarter and kinder than this plan.

Agenda Item: eComments for N.2. 21-2652 DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON POTENTIAL REDONDO BEACH MUNICIPAL CODE AMENDMENTS TO REGULATE SIDEWALK VENDING IN COMPLIANCE WITH SENATE BILL 946

## Overall Sentiment



### Jane Abrams

Location:

Submitted At: 5:52pm 06-15-21

Dear Mayor, City Council Members and City Staff,

I am writing to support that you take immediate action at tonight's meeting to amend the current Redondo Beach Municipal Code regulating sidewalk vending. The City needs a Code that details regulations on this activity and is in compliance with Senate Bill 946 that took effect in January 2019. The Cities of Hermosa Beach, Manhattan Beach and Torrance have added ordinances on sidewalk vending that address public safety concerns with specific regulations/restrictions that the City of Redondo Beach really needs to address in this update.

The City of Redondo Beach has areas like our Farmer's Markets, our bike paths, the public beach, the Pier and Harbor where restricting street vending is important. The Council needs to also consider that the majority of our residential streets have very narrow sidewalks, over parked conditions and some even have permit parking.

Pedestrians including the disabled need safe paths to walk their neighborhoods. Police, Fire and Emergency Medical personnel need access to all sidewalks and not be blocked by vending.

Please refer to attachments you received with this Agenda item and note all the details in the ordinances from Torrance, Hermosa Beach and Manhattan Beach. Please amend our Code tonight so street vending regulations will be complete and updated in time for the busy summer season.

Thanks you.

Jane Abrams

District 1, Redondo Beach

.