



Minutes
Redondo Beach City Council
Tuesday, June 1, 2021
Closed Session - Adjourned Regular Meeting 4:30 p.m.
Open Session - Regular Meeting 6:00 p.m.

A. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Via teleconference, an Adjourned Regular Meeting of the Redondo Beach City Council was called to order by Mayor Brand at 4:30 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chamber, 415 Diamond Street, Redondo Beach, California.

B. ROLL CALL

Councilmembers Present: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee, Mayor Brand
Councilmembers Absent: None
Officials Present: Michael Webb, City Attorney
Joe Hoefgen, City Manager
Vickie Kroneberger, Chief Deputy City Clerk

C. SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND INVOCATION – NONE

D. BLUE FOLDER ITEMS – ADDITIONAL BACK UP MATERIALS – NONE

E. PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS AND NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment. There being no comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

F. RECESS TO CLOSED SESSION: 4:31 p.m.

F.1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION - The Closed Session is authorized by the attorney-client privilege, Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1).

Name of case:

Todd Heywood v. City of Redondo Beach

WCAB Case #: ADJ10469602; ADJ11138137; ADJ11138136

F.2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED POTENTIAL LITIGATION - The Closed Session is authorized by the attorney-client privilege, Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(4).

One potential case

F.3. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION - The Closed Session is authorized by the attorney-client privilege, Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1).

Name of case:

City of Berkeley and City of Berkeley Department of Planning and Development & Confederated Villages of Lisjan and Confederated Villages of Lisjan, Inc. v. Ruegg & Ellsworth and Frank Spencer Company

First Appellate District, Division Two, Case No. A159218, Reserving a Judgment of the Superior Court of Alameda County, Case No. RB18930003

F.4. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION - The Closed Session is authorized by the attorney-client privilege, Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1).

Name of Case:

City of Redondo Beach, City of Hermosa Beach v. California State Water Resources Control Board

Case Number: 20STCP03193

G. RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

Motion by Councilmember Obagi, seconded by Councilmember Horvath, to recess at 4:35 p.m. to conduct Closed Sessions attended by City Manager Joe Hoefgen, City Attorney Mike Webb, Assistant City Attorney Cheryl Park, Assistant City Manager Mike Witzansky, Outside Legal Counsel Lisa Bond, Outside Legal Counsel Eric Stratsma, Human Resource Director Diane Strickfaden, and Community Development Director Brandy Forbes. Motion carried unanimously, with the following roll call vote.

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

G. RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION: 6:02 p.m.

H. ROLL CALL

Councilmembers Present: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee, Mayor Brand

Councilmembers Absent: None

Officials Present: Eleanor Manzano, City Clerk
Michael Webb, City Attorney
Joe Hoefgen, City Manager
Vickie Kroneberger, Chief Deputy City Clerk

I. ANNOUNCEMENT OF CLOSED SESSION ACTIONS

City Manager Hoefgen referred to Item F.3 and announced that City Council voted unanimously to direct the City Attorney to file in support of the City of Berkeley's petition to the State Supreme Court related to housing and the application of environment regulations for housing projects that they are municipal affairs.

J. ADJOURN TO REGULAR MEETING

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Nehrenheim, to adjourn at 6:02 p.m. to a regular meeting. Motion carried unanimously with no objections.

A. CALL TO ORDER

Via Teleconference, a Regular Meeting of the Redondo Beach City Council was called to order by Mayor Brand at 6:02 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers, 415 Diamond Street, Redondo Beach, California.

B. ROLL CALL

Councilmembers Present: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee, Mayor Brand

Councilmembers Absent: None

Officials Present: Eleanor Manzano, City Clerk
Michael Webb, City Attorney
Joe Hoefgen, City Manager
Vickie Kroneberger, Chief Deputy City Clerk

C. SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND INVOCATION

At the request of Mayor Brand, the audience and Councilmembers rose to salute the flag followed by a moment of silence.

D. PRESENTATIONS/PROCLAMATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Councilmember Loewenstein announced his next District 2 Community Meeting taking place on Thursday, June 10 from 6 to 7:30 via Zoom, and stated he attended an honor ceremony for 103-year old Joe Eskenazi who is a local veteran.

Councilmember Horvath announced his District 3 Community Meeting taking place on Saturday, June 19 from 9:30 to 11 a.m.

Councilmember Obagi announced the June 5th cleanup from 8 a.m. to 10 a.m. in the right-of-way in North Redondo.

Mayor Brand also supported the event for the 103-year old veteran Joe Eskenazi.

City Manager Hoefgen announced the new Community Services Director Cameron Harding.

E. APPROVAL OF ORDER OF AGENDA

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Emdee, to approve the Order of Agenda as presented. Motion carried, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
NOES: None
ABSENT: None

F. AGENCY RECESS: 6:10 P.M.

F.1. REGULAR MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY FINANCING AUTHORITY
CONTACT: MARNI RUHLAND, FINANCE DIRECTOR

F.2. PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY – REGULAR MEETING – CANCELLED
CONTACT: MARNI RUHLAND, FINANCE DIRECTOR

F.3. REGULAR MEETING OF THE REDONDO BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY
CONTACT: CAMERON HARDING, HOUSING ADMINISTRATOR

RECONVENE: 6:31 P.M.

D. ROLL CALL

Councilmembers Present: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee, Mayor Brand
Councilmembers Absent: None
Officials Present: Eleanor Manzano, City Clerk
Michael Webb, City Attorney
Joe Hoefgen, City Manager
Vickie Kroneberger, Chief Deputy City Clerk

G. BLUE FOLDER ITEMS – ADDITIONAL BACK UP MATERIALS

Motion by Councilmember Emdee, seconded by Councilmember Nehrenheim, to receive and file additional materials for Public Participation on Non-Agenda Items, Items L.1 and L.3. Motion carried unanimously, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
NOES: None
ABSENT: None

H. CONSENT CALENDAR

H.1. APPROVE AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING FOR THE CITY COUNCIL ADJOURNED REGULAR AND REGULAR MEETING OF JUNE 1, 2021

CONTACT: ELEANOR MANZANO, CITY CLERK

H.2. APPROVE MOTION TO READ BY TITLE ONLY AND WAIVE FURTHER READING OF ALL ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS LISTED ON THE AGENDA.

CONTACT: ELEANOR MANZANO, CITY CLERK

H.3. APPROVE THE FOLLOWING CITY COUNCIL MINUTES:

A. DECEMBER 15, 2020 ADJOURNED REGULAR AND REGULAR MEETING

B. FEBRUARY 2, 2021 ADJOURNED REGULAR AND REGULAR MEETING

C. FEBRUARY 9, 2021 REGULAR MEETING

D. FEBRUARY 16, 2021 ADJOURNED REGULAR AND REGULAR MEETING

CONTACT: ELEANOR MANZANO, CITY CLERK

H.4. PAYROLL DEMANDS

CHECKS 27350-27380 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$46,968.81, PD. 05/14/2021

DIRECT DEPOSIT 234898-235386 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,763,448.85, PD.05/14/2021

CHECK 27381-27381 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$109.26, PD. 05/14/2021

DIRECT DEPOSIT 235387-235387 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$19.56, PD. 05/14/2021

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE DEMANDS

CHECKS 99097-99295 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,974,069.25

DIRECT DEPOSIT 100004843-100004946 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$97,169.14, PD. 06/01/2021

EFT COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE COMPANY \$134,638.00

CONTACT: MARNI RUHLAND, FINANCE DIRECTOR

H.5. APPROVE CONTRACTS UNDER \$35,000:

1. APPROVE AGREEMENT WITH CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION GOVERNMENT (PEG) CHANNEL 8 BROADCAST SERVICE UPGRADE TO HIGH DEFINITION FOR THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$26,530 FOR THE TERM JUNE 1, 2021 TO MAY 31, 2024.

2. APPROVE AGREEMENT WITH U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY ACCEPTING TERMS AND CONDITIONS IN ORDER FOR THE CITY TO RECEIVE FUNDS FROM THE CORONAVIRUS LOCAL FISCAL RECOVERY FUND FOR THE PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2026.

3. APPROVE AGREEMENTS AND MERCHANT APPLICATIONS WITH GLOBAL PAYMENTS DIRECT, INC. FOR CREDIT CARD PROCESSING SERVICES TRANSITIONING TO A

PERCENTAGE BASED CREDIT CARD ACCEPTANCE FEE PAID BY CARDHOLDERS FOR EACH CREDIT CARD TRANSACTION PROCESSED AT CITY HALL (CASHIER'S OFFICE), MAIN LIBRARY, NORTH BRANCH LIBRARY, PASSPORT OFFICE AND ONLINE AT NO COST TO THE CITY UNTIL TERMINATED.

CONTACT: MARNI RUHLAND, FINANCE DIRECTOR

- H.6. APPROVE THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH TRANSIT CENTER SECURITY SERVICES REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR OPERATION OF SECURITY SERVICES AT THE NEW TRANSIT CENTER**

CONTACT: CAMERON HARDING, COMMUNITY SERVICES DIRECTOR

- H.7. APPROVE AGREEMENT WITH CALIFA LIBRARY GROUP FOR THE PROVISION, INSTALLATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF ADVANCED NETWORK (DATA) SERVICES FOR THE LIBRARY PUBLIC ACCESS NETWORK FOR THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$73,530.00 FOR THE TERM JULY 1, 2021 TO JULY 1, 2026.**

CONTACT: SUSAN ANDERSON, LIBRARY DIRECTOR

- H.8. PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER NEHRENHEIM FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.**

- H.9. APPROVE THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT WITH UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP D/B/A ALLIED UNIVERSAL SECURITY SERVICES FOR TRANSIT CENTER SECURITY SERVICES AT THE SOUTH BAY GALLERIA FOR A MONTH-TO-MONTH AGREEMENT FROM JULY 1, 2021 TO JUNE 30, 2022 FOR AN ADDITIONAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$69,137.04.**

CONTACT: CAMERON HARDING, COMMUNITY SERVICES DIRECTOR

- H.10. PULLED BY COUNCILMEMBER NEHRENHEIM FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.**

- H.11. ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-046, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, PROCLAIMING SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2021 AS "OPEN WATER SWIM DAY" IN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH IN SPONSORSHIP AND SUPPORT OF THE THIRD ANNUAL SWIM THE AVENUES WATER SPORTS EVENT TO BE HELD IN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH COASTAL WATERS AND IN APPRECIATION OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES' EFFORTS TO PERMIT THE EVENT AND PROVIDE LOGISTICAL SUPPORT**

CAMERON HARDING, CONTACT: COMMUNITY SERVICES DIRECTOR

- H.12. APPROVE THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH PACIFIC ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING FOR CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE REDONDO BEACH TRANSIT CENTER PROJECT, JOB NO. 20120 FOR AN ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF \$90,000 FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$155,000 FOR THE EXISTING TERM**

CONTACT: TED SEMAAN, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

- H.13. ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-047, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AWARDED A PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACT TO PAVEMENT COATINGS CO., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$720,725.75 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CITYWIDE SLURRY SEAL PROJECT, PHASE 2, JOB NO. 41140**

CONTACT: TED SEMAAN, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

- H.14. APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF TEN PUBLIC WORKS VEHICLES FROM NATIONAL AUTO FLEET GROUP FOR USE BY THE PUBLIC WORKS OPERATIONS AND ENGINEERING DIVISIONS FOR A TOTAL COST OF \$712,211.**

ADOPT BY 4/5 VOTE AND BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-048, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING A FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021 BUDGET MODIFICATION TO TRANSFER \$173,701 IN WASTEWATER MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS FUNDS AND APPROPRIATE \$183,569 IN VEHICLE REPLACEMENT FUNDS TO THE CITY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 2020-2021 FISCAL YEAR OPERATING BUDGET, FLEET SERVICES DIVISION VEHICLE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT. CONTACT: TED SEMAAN, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

- H.15. APPROVE FIRST AMENDMENT TO CONSULTING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH FEHR & PEERS, INC. TO EXTEND THE TERM THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2021 FOR PREPARATION OF VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY TO GUIDE THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS OF PROJECTS IN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA STATE SENATE BILL 743 FOR THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$54,930 FOR THE TERM OF APRIL 21, 2020 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2021. CONTACT: BRANDY FORBES, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR**

- H.16. ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-049, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, LEASING CERTAIN PROPERTY TO SUNRISE-HARBOR, LTD.**

**APPROVE THE 1st AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH AND SUNRISE-HARBOR, LTD, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, FOR THE LEASEHOLD COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE REDONDO BEACH HOTEL TO REMOVE THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE FURNITURE FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT FUND
CONTACT: STEPHEN PROUD, WATERFRONT & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR**

- H.17. ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-050, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE SUSPENSION OF PREFERENTIAL PARKING HOURS IN THE VINCENT AND VINCENT 2 PREFERENTIAL PARKING DISTRICTS FOR LIMITED HOURS ON JUNE 10, AND JUNE 11, 2021 DUE TO COMMENCEMENT AND GRADUATION CEREMONIES. CONTACT: KAUFFMAN, CHIEF OF POLICE**

- H.18. EXCUSE ABSENCE FOR COMMISSIONER MEL SAMPLES ON THE BUDGET & FINANCE COMMISSION. CONTACT: ELEANOR MANZANO, CITY CLERK**

- H.19. APPROVE THE ESTOPPEL CERTIFICATE AND CONSENT TO DEED OF TRUST BETWEEN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH AND PORTOFINO HOTEL PARTNERS, L.P. CONTACT: STEPHEN PROUD, WATERFRONT & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR**

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment. There being no further comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Nehrenheim, to approve Items H.1 through H.19, with the exclusion of H.8 and H.10. Motion carried unanimously, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
NOES: None
ABSENT: None

City Clerk Eleanor Manzano read all Ordinances and Resolutions by title only which were included on the Consent Calendar.

I. EXCLUDED CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

- H.8. APPROVE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES TO ALLOW THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH TO PROVIDE JAIL SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$290 PER ARRESTEE NOT TO EXCEED \$44,500, FOR THE TERM BEGINNING JUNE 1, 2021 AND ENDING MAY 31, 2022, OR UPON REACHING THE MAXIMUM REIMBURSEMENT AMOUNT, UNLESS TERMINATED BY EITHER PARTY.**
CONTACT: KEITH KAUFFMAN, CHIEF OF POLICE

At the request of Councilmember Nehrenheim, City Manager Hoefgen gave a report and stated after discussions, a mutually beneficial arrangement was reached.

Chief of Police Kauffman gave a report and stated the City of Palos Verdes Estates came back with a pressing need for the jail, parted out from the rest of the study, and came to the amount based on recovering the cost of personnel by booking their arrestees. He also said the City is very collaborative with the other agencies.

Councilmember Nehrenheim asked about the process. Chief of Police Kauffman explained instead of booking someone in Palos Verdes Estates once arrested, the officers would drive them here and book them into the City's jail. He also said any needs would be provided by Palos Verdes Estates but believed any medical needs or injuries would be provided by the City of Redondo Beach, and any long term injuries would go through the Workers' Compensation system. He said they would have to make other arrangements if the City of Redondo Beach wasn't available, and further said at this time most people are being cited out of custody or transported to the Sheriff's custody facility or post bail, being the same process for release.

Mayor Brand noted the City of Palos Verdes will no longer need a jail and expressed concern with the City of Redondo Beach being liable for injuries and other events. Chief of Police Kauffman stated the City Attorney looked into the insurance coverage that both cities have but said there is always a risk and supported cooperative policing. He also said the \$29,000 is a revenue estimate which will be in the upcoming budget.

Mayor Brand suggested being mindful of proper cost accounting. Chief of Police Kauffman also said the contract can be cancelled with a 30-day notice.

City Attorney Webb reviewed the section where Palos Verdes Estates indemnifies the City of Redondo Beach for willful acts and actions, but this was not the wording of the indemnification that staff wrote which was rejected. He noted this is a public safety benefit and the financial benefit is capped out at \$44,500 for a one-year agreement.

Councilmember Obagi asked how much the City of Palos Verdes Estates has gotten sued for police misconduct, etc. He also expressed concern with saving more money for the City of Palos Verdes Estates than what the City of Redondo Beach is making. Chief of Police Kauffman stated he didn't have this information but noted they have an excellent policing reputation.

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment.

Chief Deputy City Clerk Vickie Kroneberger read the comment submitted via eComment by Lezlie Campeggi.

There being no further comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

Mayor Brand noted two indemnification clauses in the contract and expressed concern with risk.

City Attorney Webb suggested Council could recommend strengthening the indemnification language and go back to the first language drafted.

In response to Councilmember Obagi, Chief of Police Kauffman stated this particular item doesn't support the notion of the Police Department growing.

Motion by Councilmember Nehrenheim to approve for one year and to be reviewed in one year. Councilmember Nehrenheim withdrew his motion.

Councilmember Emdee suggested just doing a one-year cap to see how this will go, with the possibility of finding other ways with synergy and cost savings in other areas.

Motion by Councilmember Obagi, seconded by Councilmember Nehrenheim, to approve an agreement with the City of Palos Verdes Estates to allow the City of Redondo Beach to provide jail services in the amount of \$500 per arrestee not to exceed \$50,000 for the term beginning June 1, 2021 and ending May 31, 2022, or upon reaching the maximum reimbursement amount, unless terminated by either party.

Substitute Motion by Councilmember Emdee, seconded by Councilmember Obagi, to approve an agreement with the City of Palos Verdes Estates to allow the City of Redondo Beach to provide jail services in the amount of \$290 per arrestee not to exceed \$44,500 for the term beginning June 1, 2021 and ending May 31, 2022, or upon reaching the maximum reimbursement amount, unless terminated by either party.

Friendly Amendment by Councilmember Obagi that jail services be in the amount of \$325 per arrestee. Councilmember Obagi withdrew his Amendment.

Friendly Amendment by Councilmember Nehrenheim to include a report in April 2022. Councilmember Emdee accepted the Friendly Amendment.

Substitute Motion carried unanimously, with the following roll call vote:

AYES:	Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	None

**H.10. APPROVE SUPPLEMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FOR COURT SECURITY SERVICES IN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH FOR HOMELESS COURT FOR THE TERM BEGINNING JULY 1, 2021 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026, UNLESS TERMINATED PRIOR BY EITHER PARTY.
CONTACT: KEITH KAUFFMAN, CHIEF OF POLICE**

Councilmember Nehrenheim asked about using CDBG funds and not taking on other cities.

Chief of Police Kauffman explained that no other cities are using the City's program and are people facing criminal complaints in the City of Redondo Beach being mitigated through Homeless Court.

City Attorney Webb gave a report regarding other cities and the goal is to have every city take advantage of a homeless court and pallet shelter based on the City's model, being a South Bay approach.

City Manager Hoefgen stated if there is a partnership agreement with one of the other cities, it could be specified where the money goes and what is being received.

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment. There being no comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

Motion by Councilmember Nehrenheim, seconded by Councilmember Obagi, to approve Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Agreement with the County of Los Angeles for court security services in the City of Redondo Beach for Homeless Court for the term beginning July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2026, unless terminated prior by either party. Motion carried with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
NOES: None
ABSENT: None

J. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment.

Sondra Segall, Redondo Beach, expressed concern with \$18,316.50 of taxpayer funds sent to the nonprofit SBPC for the Wilderness Park project and expressed concern with funds going to individuals closely related to the nonprofit.

Maggie Healy expressed concern with Council not following standard policies when it comes to partnering with the SBPC, reviewed her concerns and exposing the City to liability and risk. She suggested a staff investigation and report.

Chief Deputy City Clerk Vickie Kroneberger read the comment submitted via eComment by Matthew Hinsley.

There being no further comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

K. EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

Councilmember Nehrenheim disclosed discussion with Councilmember Loewenstein.

Councilmember Loewenstein disclosed speaking to Councilmember Nehrenheim, the appellant and proponent.

Councilmember Horvath disclosed corresponding with the appellants, proponents, staff and Councilmember Emdee.

Councilmember Obagi disclosed meeting in person with the proponent and a telephone conversation with the appellant.

Councilmember Emdee disclosed meeting with the proponent, appellant, and Councilmember Horvath, and receiving emails since the last meeting.

Mayor Brand disclosed receiving additional emails, speaking with Councilmember Obagi and Councilmember Loewenstein and a brief discussion with staff.

L. PUBLIC HEARINGS

- L.1. A CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING FOR CONSIDERATION OF AN APPEAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION APPROVING THE REQUEST FOR AN ACCESSORY STRUCTURE'S ROOF ATTACHED TO THE REAR ELEVATION OF THE MAIN HOME WITHIN THE REAR SETBACK OF THE REAR UNIT OF AN EXISTING 2-UNIT RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN A LOW-DENSITY MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-2) ZONE AT 2015 SPEYER LANE UNIT B.**

RECOMMENDATION:

The public hearing is to be structured in the following order, subject to the Rules of Conduct for City Council meetings:

- 1. Announcement and motion to open public hearing;**
- 2. Motion to receive and file affidavit of publication, case file, and written correspondence;**
- 3. Staff presentation;**
- 4. Public testimony and presentation of evidence;**
 - a. Proponent maximum of 1 hour;**
 - b. Appellant maximum of 1 hour**
 - c. Public comment 3 minutes each;**
 - d. Appellant rebuttal 20 minutes;**
 - e. Proponent rebuttal 20 minutes.**
- 5. City Council questions;**
- 6. Motion to close public hearing;**
- 7. City Council discussion and debate;**
- 8. Motion and action.**

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2105-043, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, GRANTING THE APPEAL AND OVERTURNING THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL OF THE REQUEST FOR AN ACCESSORY STRUCTURE ATTACHED TO THE REAR ELEVATION OF THE MAIN HOME WITHIN THE REAR SETBACK OF THE REAR UNIT OF AN EXISTING 2-UNIT RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN A LOW-DENSITY MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-2) ZONE AT 2015 SPEYER LANE UNIT B;

OR

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2105-044, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, DENYING THE APPEAL AND UPHOLDING THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION APPROVING THE REQUEST FOR AN ACCESSORY STRUCTURE ATTACHED TO THE REAR ELEVATION OF THE MAIN HOME WITHIN THE REAR SETBACK OF THE REAR UNIT OF AN EXISTING 2-UNIT RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT ON PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN A LOW-DENSITY MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-2) ZONE AT 2015 SPEYER LANE UNIT B
CONTACT: BRANDY FORBES, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Emdee, to open the public hearing. Hearing no objections, Mayor Brand so ordered.

Motion by Councilmember Nehrenheim, seconded by Councilmember Horvath, to receive and file affidavit of publication, case file, and written correspondence. Hearing no objections, Mayor Brand so ordered.

Staff Presentation:

Lina Portolese, Planning Analyst gave a staff report and discussed the following:

- Appeal of Planning Commission Decision for an attached accessory structure at 2015 Speyer Lane Unit B
- Overview of Staff's Presentation
- Project Background
- Subject Site
- Background
- Background – Stop Work Order #1
- Background Stop Work Orders #2 & #3
- Background Stop Work Order #4
- Last round of site plan approvals
- Background Stop Work Order #5
- Background – ADR application #1
- Administrative Design Review Application
- Background – ADR application #1
- Background – ADR application #2
- Background – Planning Commission Decision
- Zoning Code Requirements
- Architectural Features projecting into setback
- Accessory Structures
- Analysis of Applicant's Structure
- Overall Summary
- Conclusion

Proponents Matthew and Cory Sufnar presented the following presentation:

- Compliance to Accessory Structures in Residential Zones, Building and Other Projections in all Zones
- What we have built does not violate the requirements of applicable Municipal Code(s). The Structure in place is fully compliant to the Building Code as written.
- Bottom Line Up Front (Overview) 1 of 2
- Bottom Line Up Front (Overview) 2 of 2
- Current Building Code 10-2.1500 – Accessory Structure & RBMC Definitions
- Current Building Codes – Summary of Key Points
- Current Building Code 10-2.1522 – Projections
- Prior and New Accessory Structure Comparison
- Existing Structure and Allowable Projections per Building Code 10-2.1522 – Projections
- Current Backyard Photos
- Rebuttal of Claims by Rear Resident Against Accessory Structure & Additional Concessions (1 of 2)
- Rebuttal of Claims by Rear Resident Against Accessory Structure & Additional Concessions (2 of 2)
- Recommendations by Planning Commission 03/18/21 (1 of 2)
- Recommendations by Planning Commission 03/18/21 (2 of 2)
- Building Code 10-2.1500 Compliance Assessment Matrix (1 of 2)
- Building Code 10-2.1500 Compliance Assessment Matrix (2 of 2)
- Alternate Structure Code – What Would be Allowable
- Current View (Top) vs. Alternate Options Code Allows (Bottom)
- Accessory Structure – Owner/Neighbor Benefits Analysis (1 of 2)
- Accessory Structure – Owner/Neighbor Benefits Analysis (2 of 2)
- Adjacent and Block Residential Support of Backyard Improvements
- Overwhelming Redondo Beach Resident Support of Improvements Written Letters Attached (See Exhibit B)
- South Bay Experts, Licensed Real Estate Professionals, Written Letters Attached (See Exhibit B)
- Existing Neighborhood Precedent Examples (1 of 3)
- Existing Neighborhood Precedent Examples (2 of 3)

- Existing Neighborhood Precedent Examples (3 of 3)
- Building Code 10-2-1500 Compliance Assessment Matrix (1 of 2)
- Building Code 10-2-1500 Compliance Assessment Matrix (2 of 2)
- Accessory Structure fully complies to all seven Building Codes, including Para. 7a distance between buildings
- Alternate Structure Code – what would be allowable
- Current View (top) vs. alternate options code allows (bottom)
- Accessory Structure – owner/neighbor benefits analysis (1 of 2)
- Accessory Structure – owner/neighbor benefits analysis (2 of 2)
- Adjacent and Block Residential Support of Backyard Improvements
- Overwhelming Redondo Beach Resident Support of Improvements Written Letters Attached (See Exhibit B)
- South Bay Experts and Licenses Real Estate Professionals Written Letters Attached (See Exhibit B)
- Existing Neighborhood Precedent Examples (1 of 3)
- Existing Neighborhood Precedent Examples (2 of 3)
- Existing Neighborhood Precedent Examples (3 of 3)
- Existing Neighborhood Maintenance and Code Violations – No Nuisance Abatement nor Investments to Improve Unsanitary, Unsafe Conditions (within 1 block or residence)
- 2014 Belmont Lane #B Backyard Resident (Appellant)
- 2014 Belmont Lane #B Systemic Abuse of City Resources by Appellant
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (1 of 7)
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (2 of 7)
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (3 of 7)
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (4 of 7)
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (5 of 7)
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (6 of 7)
- 2020 Outdoor Living Space Project Timeline – includes Permits & Complaints (7 of 7)
- Permits Summary
- Example of Cooperation and Immediate Compliance to Violation of RBMC 9-1.02 Resolution 8913 – it was determined 'after the fact' City misinterpreted Code and issued Violation in Error
- In Closing...
- 2014 Belmont Lane #B, Systemic Abuse of City Resources by Appellant Timeline of Complaints, Outrageous Conduct and Key Events (1 of 2)
- 2014 Belmont Lane #B, Systemic Abuse of City Resources by Appellant Timeline of Complaints, Outrageous Conduct and Key Events (1 of 2)
- Repeated Attempts made to Appellants by all Stakeholders to find a solution
- 10.5.20 Letter to Appellant
- Lessons Learned (1 of 2)
- Lessons Learned (2 of 2)
- In Final Closing...

Appellants Lisa and William Stock presented the following presentation:

- Appeal and Request to Overturn the March 18, 2021 Planning Commission Decision approving the request for an Accessory Structure's Roof attached to the main home
- Introduction
 - Reason for appeal
 - RBMC Section 10-2.2500
- Overview
 - Issue is how it was built, not what was built
- Revocation of Administrative Design Review
- Initial Warning

- Notification Timeline
- Neighbor Involvement Timeline
- Code Enforcement Opportunities
- Plan Approved by City on August 27, 2020
- Architectural Drawings September 2, 2020
- Example – Fireplace Detail
- Administrative Design Review Timeline
- Planning Commission Solution is not Feasible
- Door Frame Structural Integrity Concerns
- Door Frame – Improper Use of Bracket
- Masonry Fireplace – Lateral Forces
- Masonry Fireplace Structure
- Commencement of Work & Inspections (1 of 3)
- Commencement of Work & Inspections (2 of 3)
- Commencement of Work & Inspections (3 of 3)
- Permits do not accurately define project (1 of 3)
- Permits do not accurately define project (2 of 3)
- Permits do not accurately define project (3 of 3)
- Door Frame Inspection
- Fireplace Inspection
- Criteria for Granting Appeal
- Grant Appeal – Fraud and Misrepresentation
- Grant Appeal – Violations of Laws and Regulations
- Grant Appeal – Safety
- Grant Appeal – Nuisance
- City Noise Ordinance
- California Laws on Property Lines and Fences
- Petition for City to Enforce Laws
- Gathered more than 120 signatures from throughout Redondo Beach urging the City to enforce the law
- Petition to stop illegal residential construction in Redondo Beach
- Decision Matrix

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment.

Jens Wessel supported the project, stated the City should look at the codes to be simplified and easier to interpret, suggested that the Planning Department go out to the project, supported the Sufnar's being consistent and opposed Mr. Stock videotaping children on Halloween. He also supported the Planning Commission and looked forward to a positive outcome and beautifying the Golden Hills area.

Greg and Jennifer Danielson supported the Sufnar family and their recent background improvements and stated they have been wonderful neighbors. They supported the project which has been tastefully done and questioned privacy issues only on the Sufnar's. She also noted an increase in value to the home and neighborhood and the Sufnar's being able to enjoy their home.

Mike Goldstein, District 3, supported the Sufnar family and the background improvements, reviewed their involvement in the City, and expressed concern with harassment from their neighbor which has a negative impact and asked Council to uphold the right decision.

Lisa Wessel submitted her strong support for the outdoor improvements done at the Sufnar home and valued the benefits. She also requested the City updating outdated codes and being easier to navigate. She also opposed the appeal, noting the Planning Commission voting 7-0 in favor for a backyard renovation.

Billy Errett stated the Planning Commission voted unanimously in favor of the project and reviewed the Sufnar's involvement in the City. He also expressed his support for the outdoor project and appreciated Council addressing this item. He pointed out that the Planning Code was not clear but the Commission delivered their best judgement with their approval of the project. He asked that Council uphold the decision of the Planning Commission.

Carrie and Bob Hayes thanked Council for their hours of service and said this process has been very challenging. She said they have been homeowners since 2001 and noted real estate going up in value and home improvements. She supported improvement in the City and the home of the Sufnar's.

Rolf Strutzenberg, Redondo Beach, stated he voted on the Planning Commission in favor for the blue structure being attached to the residence and said what he found in his research did not violate the code. He said what is built is clearly an accessory structure and not an accessory building, a 5 foot setback is required but there is an exception under 10-2.1522 to allow for the projections in the required setbacks for eaves and other similar items up to 30 inches from both the dwelling unit and the accessory structure and the height limit is 15 feet as defined in 10-2.1500C1. He also said under 10-2.402, accessory structures are not required to be detached. He said the Planning Commission's decision is in full compliance of the Municipal Code and encouraged Council to deny the appeal.

Kerry Bosse supported the Sufnar's and spending time outdoors and the backyard project which will increase the value of the home and enhancing the quality of life. She also believed this is a community improvement.

Jasmine Rassekh supported the project and outdoor living space, and believed the project is compliant with the City's Municipal Code. She also opposed harassment from the neighbor in the back, and believed this matter could have been resolved by the two neighbors.

Varina Moore, District 1, supported the Sufnar's and believed there is no issue with the structure, noted there will always be noise issues in Redondo due to density, expressed concern with the videotaping from the neighbor, and supported home improvements in the City.

Paige Howe supported the Sufnar's and their outdoor space, expressed concern with the neighbor filming and recording them, and noted many unkempt homes in the area and supported home improvements.

Chief Deputy City Clerk Vickie Kroneberger read the comments submitted via eComment by:

Ling-Yu Kung, Greg Thatcher, Jean Leary, Patricia Moore, Christine Abramowski, Marshall Gelb, Kirsten Rosenberg, Jerome Chang, Susan Hartland, Michael Dworski

There being no further comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

Appellant Rebuttal:

Lisa Stock gave a history, reviewed letters of support and concerns, reviewed her concerns regarding the project and supported enforcing the laws and codes. She asked Council to correct the wrong, bringing the project into full compliance and issue citations for repeatedly and knowingly breaking the law while creating widespread animosity in the neighborhood.

Proponent Rebuttal:

Matthew and Cory Sufnar reviewed incidents and complaints and not taking any action against the appellants. He stated the accessory structure fully complies to the Building Code and have made many attempts to find a solution that meets the needs of the appellants. He said the appeal is not a referendum on the permit enforcement process which are secondary to the true matter at hand and need to be tabled

outside the proceedings. He also reviewed letters of support. Cory Sufnar reviewed lessons learned and final closing and stated the project is in full compliance and asked for approval.

Mayor Brand stated he has never seen this conflict neighbor to neighbor going so far and can see why there was no settlement between the two neighbors. He also supported the presentations. He asked if this project is compliant with existing code.

In response to Mayor Brand, Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated the accessory structure cannot be approved as an architectural feature because it exceeds the 9 feet in height and does not meet the code.

In response to Councilmember Obagi, Community Development Director Brandy Forbes explained that the bracket installed is a heavier support and meets the code. She also said there was an engineer doing the structural observations throughout the structure which has been documented and signed off that it is compliant with the code.

Councilmember Obagi believed an issue includes City Councils of past which didn't set up a City that was funded to enforce the laws and stop work orders. He also expressed concern with no stop work orders taking place and the project going forward anyway. He believed the neighbors became alienated from one another and stated Mr. Stock just wanted the law enforced and inquired about having permits. He also referred to statutory interpretation giving meaning to all words on the page and believed the accessory structure can be attached and is not required to have a 5-foot setback.

City Attorney Webb referred to accessory dwelling structures in residential zones and the general definition of accessory structures is essentially the building is detached and also accessory buildings are considered a subset of the code of accessory structures. He said the general rules apply to all zones and in this case, a dwelling unit and accessory structures have to be separated by 5 feet, regardless if they are detached or not.

Councilmember Emdee asked about the Masonry fireplace and earthquake concerns. Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated this was reviewed by the Building Division with their structural engineer's observation documentation. She also said an architectural feature above 9 feet in height cannot be approved and is not permitted. She also said electrical in the roof structure is not approved but the gas line to the BBQ, to the fireplace, and structural for fireplace and replacement doors were approved.

In response to Councilmember Emdee, Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated the options are reducing the height of the roof to 9 feet, or separate it 5 feet from the dwelling unit. She said the plans submitted were installing the BBQ and a fireplace which was submitted and already started the work and then a stop work order took place due to needing a permit and not submitting the full buildout.

Councilmember Loewenstein agreed that the language is misleading and should state structures as well.

In response to Councilmember Loewenstein what is considered the structure, Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated it is the roof and fireplace and she believed it would be considered a roof. She also explained an eave which is at the end of a roof.

Councilmember Loewenstein referred to 10-2.1522 and believed the Planning Commission felt there was an exception, being a projection from a building, attached from a building as long as it projects out 30 inches from one end and 30 inches from the other end and they meet somewhere. City Attorney Webb clarified that the residential zones have a more specific requirement.

Assistant City Attorney Park clarified that the section was probably the basis for the Planning Commission's decision.

In response to Councilmember Nehrenheim regarding a variance, Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated this would have to go to the Planning Commission and noted there are very strict criteria and unusual circumstances.

Councilmember Nehrenheim noted the trees are now gone and there are impacts to privacy and noise, and agreed with not impacting the neighbors. Community Development Director Brandy Forbes believed that planters were a requirement of the Planning Commission.

Councilmember Horvath asked about the architectural structure versus an accessory structure and the ambiguous language in the code. Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated the accessory structure exceeded 5 feet and is attached to the building. She also said there are ways to clarify the language in the code but the bigger issue is lifestyle changes. She further said the residential design guidelines are outside of the zoning code itself. She said there were many restrictions and access during the pandemic and said precautions were taken. She further did not believe anything intentional took place regarding gaining the system but said the drawings showed something different when they came in.

In response to Councilmember Obagi, City Attorney Webb stated tonight's meeting is not about enforcement and is simply to make a determination on the appeal from the Planning Commission.

Motion by Councilmember Obagi, seconded by Councilmember Horvath, to close the Public Hearing. Hearing no objections, Mayor Brand so moved.

Councilmember Horvath stated communication is important between contractors and residents, and noted ambiguity in the code language is the main issue regarding tonight's hearing.

Councilmember Emdee reviewed her concerns to include staff telling the Stock's to take pictures, communication issues, lack of code enforcement and not following rules.

Councilmember Nehrenheim pointed out that the trees will remain in place and grow to a large size to absorb the sound and increase privacy to neighbors in the back.

Mayor Brand asked about the electrical. Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated the BBQ, gas to the BBQ, the fireplace and structural for the door replacement have been permitted but there is electrical in the roof of the accessory structure which has not been permitted but are installed which will need to be inspected.

City Attorney Webb advised that Council review the Resolution before making a final decision on the motion.

Councilmember Emdee also pointed out that there are two televisions in the back yard and tile on the floor which could be extremely noisy for the Stock's and suggested deadening the sound.

Councilmember Emdee and Mayor Brand expressed concern with being able to enforce the trees, causing a conflict.

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Loewenstein, to adopt by title only Resolution No. CC-2105-044 denying the appeal and upholding the Planning Commission's decision for approval, including under Conditions, #2, requiring a minimum of six trees of the applicant's choosing, and under Findings, item "b" to read "Per criteria (1) for Administrative Design Review, the proposed accessory structure's roof complies with the provisions of Title 10 Chapter 2 as set forth in Section 10-2.1522 projections in the required setbacks." Motion failed, with the following roll call vote:

Mayor Brand stated he will be vetoing the motion.

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath
NOES: Obagi, Emdee
ABSENT: None

Motion by Councilmember Loewenstein, seconded by Councilmember Horvath, to reconsider the motion without the tree requirement. Motion carried with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Emdee
NOES: Obagi
ABSENT: None

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Loewenstein, to adopt by title only Resolution No. CC-2105-044 denying the appeal and upholding the Planning Commission's decision for approval, and under Findings, item "b" to read "Per criteria (1) for Administrative Design Review, the proposed accessory structure's roof complies with the provisions of Title 10 Chapter 2 as set forth in Section 10-2.1522 projections in the required setbacks." Motion carried, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Emdee
NOES: Obagi
ABSENT: None

City Clerk Manzano read by title only Resolution No. CC-2105-044, as amended.

Motion by Councilmember Nehrenheim, seconded by Councilmember Loewenstein, to continue Items M1, N1 and P1 to the next Council meeting. Motion carried, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi
NOES: Emdee
ABSENT: None

L.2. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS FOR THE STREET LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022

ADOPT BY TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION NO. CC-2106-051, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA, CONFIRMING THE DIAGRAM AND ASSESSMENT, AND LEVYING OF THE ASSESSMENT FOR THE STREET LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING DISTRICT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR FROM JULY 1, 2021 TO JUNE 30, 2022

PROCEDURES:

- a. OPEN PUBLIC HEARING, TAKE TESTIMONY; AND**
- b. CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING; AND**
- c. ADOPT RESOLUTION**

CONTACT: TED SEMAAN, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

Motion by Councilmember Loewenstein, seconded by Councilmember Emdee, to open the public hearing. Hearing no objections, Mayor Brand so ordered.

City Engineer Andy Winje gave a report and discussed the following:

- Collection of the assessment
- Revenue collected

- Staff recommending amount be collected – minimize the gap
- Three Decision Packages in the budget
- Adopt Resolution by title only – authorize levying the assessment in its full amount

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment. There being no comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Obagi, to close the Public Hearing. Hearing no objections, Mayor Brand so ordered.

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Nehrenheim, to adopt by title only Resolution No. CC-2106-051. Motion carried unanimously, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
 NOES: None
 ABSENT: None

Chief Deputy City Clerk Kroneberger read by title only Resolution No. CC-2106-051.

L.3. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022 CITY MANAGER'S PROPOSED BUDGET, FISCAL YEAR 2021-2026 CITY MANAGER'S PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND BUDGET RESPONSE REPORTS

PROCEDURES:

- a. Open Public Hearing, take testimony; and
- b. Continue Public Hearing to June 8, 2021; and
- c. Receive and file Budget Response Reports.

CONTACT: MARNI RUHLAND, FINANCE DIRECTOR

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Emdee, to open the public hearing. Hearing no objections, Mayor Brand so ordered.

City Manager Hoefgen gave a staff report and timeline, noted BRR's in the packet, and asked Council to provide any additional BRR's tonight.

Councilmember Horvath requested an expansion onto the BRR regarding the dog run facility and existing dog parks for similar type structures at McNeill JC, Franklin Park and Lilienthal Park.

Councilmember Nehrenheim asked about the status on Knob Hill stairs between Esplanade and the bike path regarding the lighting. Public Works Director Semaan stated this is part of BRR #2, Lighting along beach access and pedestrian walkways.

Councilmember Nehrenheim asked about the balance of Quimby Fees. Assistant City Manager Witzansky stated there is approximately \$600K in estimated revenue with \$450K of planned uses with some additional carryover, with a projected yearend balance of approximately \$200K. He said staff can provide a breakdown.

In response to Councilmember Nehrenheim, City Manager Hoefgen stated with covid restrictions, the Veteran's Park library was unable to operate and an RFP to solicit another operator will be coming to Council.

In response to Councilmember Nehrenheim, Assistant City Manager Witzansky stated Council will be able to discuss the dog park project as part of a CIP along with the nonprofit.

In response to Councilmember Nehrenheim, City Manager Hoefgen referred to the PAC position layoff which was not part of the Council's motion and was a permanent action, and there are still some positions being held vacant for a year.

Mayor Brand referred to DP #6 and suggested funding from a different source. He also requested a breakdown of both the acquisition fund and the Quimby Fees.

Councilmember Loewenstein asked about the estimate for stepped up code enforcement, requested a BRR on phase 2 of accounting fire study, requested a BRR regarding dollars saved by combining fire chiefs with Manhattan Beach and El Segundo, asked about the cost of the chevy suburban in BRR#3, asked for a breakdown regarding the costs of equipping the vehicles versus buying them off the street, and requested reviewing the ending balance after approval of the DP's July 1, 2022 which currently reads \$8.4M.

Councilmember Obagi suggested an area with a walkway on either side for a dog run and asked about a BRR regarding funding an enforcement program and administrative hearing.

City Manager Hoefgen stated an update on options for funding code enforcement services will be provided. City Attorney Webb suggested providing a list of code sections.

Councilmember Obagi requested a BRR on the North Redondo Bike Path and bulb outs on Grant Avenue and cost estimates on parking spaces.

In response to Mayor Brand regarding the street sweeping parking fine, City Manager Hoefgen stated the City is now back to regular enforcement and fine levels.

Mayor Brand suggested installing parking meters along Francisca between Herondo and Catalina. Assistant City Manager Witzansky stated this is in the current budget and parking resolution (DP#43).

Councilmember Loewenstein requested a breakdown on the number of spaces that would be metered at each location.

Mayor Brand called for public comment via Zoom and eComment.

Chief Deputy City Clerk Kroneberger read the comments submitted via eComment by:

Mark Nelson, Lisa Rodriguez, Sondra Segall

There being no further comments, Mayor Brand closed the public comment period.

Motion by Councilmember Horvath, seconded by Councilmember Emdee, to continue the Public Hearing to June 8, 2021. Motion carried unanimously, with the following roll call vote:

AYES: Nehrenheim, Loewenstein, Horvath, Obagi, Emdee
NOES: None
ABSENT: None

M. ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS AGENDAS

M.1. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE CITY'S PARTICIPATION IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON'S LS-1 OPTION E STREET LIGHT REPLACEMENT PROGRAM CONTACT: TED SEMAAN, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

This item was continued to the June 8, 2021 Council meeting.

N. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION PRIOR TO ACTION

N.1. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON AGREEMENT FOR CONSULTING SERVICES BETWEEN THE CITY OF REDONDO BEACH AND LISA PADILLA DBA CITYWORKS DESIGN FOR PREPARATION OF OBJECTIVE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES

APPROVE CONSULTING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH LISA PADILLA DBA CITYWORKS DESIGN FOR PREPARATION OF OBJECTIVE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$139,955 TO BE REIMBURSED THROUGH SB2 GRANT FUNDS FOR THE TERM OF JUNE 1, 2021 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2022

CONTACT: BRANDY FORBES, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

This item was continued to the June 8, 2021 Council meeting.

O. CITY MANAGER ITEMS – NONE

P. MAYOR AND COUNCIL ITEMS

P.1. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF MAYOR'S APPOINTMENTS TO FILL VACANCIES ON VARIOUS CITY BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

This item was continued to the June 8, 2021 Council meeting.

Q. MAYOR AND COUNCIL REFERRALS TO STAFF

Councilmember Loewenstein stated the railing at Veteran's Park to the parking lot by the Elks Lodge is very unsafe and needs to be repaired.

Councilmember Obagi requested an estimate on converting a couple of the tennis courts to flexible tennis or pickleball. He also suggested having parking spots drawn in so no one car parks in the middle and takes up both spaces.

Motion by Councilmember Obagi, seconded by Councilmember Nehrenheim, to agendaize a discussion for decorative painting for the overpass at Artesia Boulevard.

Amended motion by Councilmember Nehrenheim to include murals throughout the City on all large walls public and private. Councilmember Obagi accepted the amendment. No vote.

In response to Mayor Brand, Community Development Director Brandy Forbes stated a report will be coming back to Council regarding street vending.

R. CLOSED SESSION – NONE

S. RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION – NONE

T. ADJOURNMENT 1:13 A.M.

There being no further business to come before the City Council, Motion by Councilmember Loewenstein, seconded by Councilmember Horvath, to adjourn the meeting at 1:13 a.m. on June 2, 2021 to an Adjourned Regular meeting to be held at 4:30 p.m. (Closed Session) and a Regular meeting to be held at 6:00 p.m.

(Open Session) on Tuesday, June 8, 2021, in the City Hall Council Chambers, 415 Diamond Street, Redondo Beach, California, via teleconference. Motion carried unanimously, with no objection.

All written comments submitted via eComment are included in the record and available for public review on the City website.

Respectfully submitted,


Eleanor Manzano, City Clerk